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WHY SHOULD I CARE?
WHY SHOULD I CARE?

Value Proposition

- Who in your organization is accountable or even aware of the reporting requirements?
- Financial Impact
- Reputational Impact (info made public by CMS – HealthGrades, etc.)

WHAT IS IT?

Quality Payment Program: Background and Beyond

MEDICARE PAYMENT PRIOR TO MACRA

Fee-for-service (FFS) payment system, where clinicians are paid based on volume of services, not value.

The Sustainable Growth Rate (SGR) was established in 1997 to control the growth of Medicare payments.

Each year, Congress passed temporary “doc fixes” to avert cuts (no fix in 2015 would have resulted in a 21% cut in Medicare payments to clinicians).
In January, 2015, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) outlined goals to, in the coming years, fundamentally reform how providers will be paid for treating Medicare patients.

In line with these goals, on April 16, 2015, President Obama signed into law the Medicare Access and CHIP (Children’s Health Insurance Program) Reauthorization Act of 2015 (MACRA), with strong bipartisan support.

MACRA repealed the flawed Sustainable Growth Rate (SGR) formula, and replaced it with a payment method that incentivizes value and quality of care over volume. This signals a shift away from fee-for-service toward value-based care.

The Quality Payment Program (QPP) was implemented as a provision of MACRA with strategic objectives aimed at improving health outcomes, promoting smarter spending, minimizing burden of participation, and providing fairness and transparency in operations.

**Strategic objectives include the following:**

1. Improve beneficiary outcomes and engage patients through patient-centered Advanced APM and Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS) policies.
2. Enhance clinician experience through flexible and transparent program design and interactions with easy-to-use program tools.
3. Increase the availability and adoption of robust Advanced APMs.
4. Promote IT systems capabilities that meet the needs of clinicians, and are efficient, effective, and value-based on the front and back-end.
5. Enforce operational excellence in program implementation and ongoing development.
6. Ensure actionable feedback to clinicians and other stakeholders.
7. Support IT systems capabilities that meet the needs of users, and are seamless, efficient, and valuable on the front and back-end.
8. Ensure operational excellence in program implementation and ongoing development.
9. Support IT systems capabilities that meet the needs of users, and are seamless, efficient, and valuable on the front and back-end.

The Quality Payment Program (QPP) consists of two tracks for Medicare reimbursement:

**Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS)**: for the majority of providers who are reimbursed largely through fee-for-service. Centered around performance-based payment adjustments.

**Advanced Alternative Payment Models (APMs)**: look for physicians who participate in Eligible APMs i.e., as it states in the law, an Eligible APM can include ‘...more than nominal financial risk’, e.g., ACOs, Patient Centered Medical Homes, etc. Includes 5% lump-sum incentive payment.

CMS has defined 2017 as the “transition year”. Physician Medicare reimbursement payment adjustments will begin in 2019, however, they will be based on 2017 performance data!
WHO DOES IT APPLY TO?
The Merit-based Incentive Payment System (MIPS)
Alternative Payment Model (APM)

MIPS: KEY KNOWLEDGE POINTS
- Program definition
- Eligibility (participants and non-participants)
- MIPS Timeline
- Payment adjustments
- Performance categories & scoring
- Data submission

MIPS DEFINED
- Quality
- Resource Use
- Clinical Practice Improvement Activities
- Advancing Care Information
WHO PARTICIPATES IN MIPS?
The following clinician types can participate in MIPS, and are referred to as “Eligible Clinicians”.

**2017 & 2018**
- Physicians: Doctors of Medicine, Osteopathy, Dental Surgery/Medicine, Podiatry, Optometry, and Chiropractors
- Physician assistants (PAs)
- Nurse practitioners (NPs)
- Clinical nurse specialists
- Certified registered nurse anesthetists
- Non-patient-facing MIPS eligible clinicians (e.g., radiologists)

**Beyond 2018: Same as Y1/Y2, plus**
- Physical or occupational therapists
- Speech-language pathologists
- Audiologists
- Nurse midwives
- Clinical social workers
- Clinical psychologists
- Dietitians / Nutritional professionals

WHO IS EXCLUDED FROM MIPS?
There are 3 groups of clinicians who are NOT subject to MIPS:

1. First Year of Medicare Part B participation
2. Medicare billing charges less than or equal to $30,000 ($90,000 in 2018)
3. Provides care to 100 or fewer Medicare patients in the year (200 in 2018)
APM OVERVIEW

An Alternative Payment Model (APM) is a payment approach, developed in partnership with the clinician community, that provides additional incentives to clinicians to provide high-quality and cost-efficient care. APMs can apply to a specific clinical condition, a care episode, or a population.

Advanced Alternative Payment Models (Advanced APMs) enable clinicians and practices to earn greater rewards for taking on some financial risk related to their patients’ outcomes. Participants of Advanced APMs receive a 5% lump sum incentive under the QPP.

ADVANCED APMS

For the 2017 performance year, the following models are Advanced APMs:

- Comprehensive End Stage Renal Disease Care Model (Two-Sided Risk Arrangement)
- Next Generation ACOs
- MSSP Track 2 ACOs
- Oncology Care Model (Two-Sided Risk Arrangement)
- Comprehensive Primary Care Plus (CPC+)
- Oncology Care Models (OCM) (One-sided risk arrangement)

MIPS-APMS

- "MIPS-APMs" are a type of APM that includes MIPS eligible clinicians as participants, and hold their participants accountable for the cost and quality of care in their respective practice arrangements. There are special reporting requirements for MIPS-APMs in addition to special scoring standards.
- Most Advanced APMs are also MIPS-APMs, so if an eligible clinician participating in the Advanced APM does not meet the threshold of having sufficient payments or patients through an Advanced APM in order to become a Qualifying APM Participant (QPP), the eligible clinician will be scored under MIPS according to the MIPS-APM scoring standard.

MIPS-APMs include the following:

- Comprehensive End Stage Renal Disease Care Model (non-LDO arrangement one-sided risk arrangement)
- MSSP Track 1 ACOs
- MSSP Track 1+ ACOs (Proposed Advanced APM for 2018)
- Oncology Care Model (OCM) (one-sided risk arrangement)
WHEN DOES IT START?

MIPS TIMELINE

- Performance Period (Jan – Dec)
- 1st Feedback Report (July)

Analysis and Scoring

Providers must have begun collecting performance data by October 2nd, 2017.

MIPS PAYMENT ADJUSTMENTS

Based on a MIPS Composite Performance Score, clinicians will receive positive, negative, or neutral adjustments up to the percentages below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Adjustments</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022 Onward</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+9%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An additional bonus (not to exceed 10%) will be applied to payments to Eligible Clinicians with exceptional performance.

MIPS is a budget-neutral program. Total upward and downward adjustments will be balanced so that the average change is 0%.

© 2017 Protiviti Inc. An Equal Opportunity Employer M/F/Disability/Veterans. Protiviti is not licensed or registered as a public accounting firm and does not issue opinions on financial statements or offer attestation services. All registered trademarks are the property of their respective owners.
MIPS PERFORMANCE CATEGORIES AND SCORING

The MIPS Composite Performance Score will factor in performance across 4 weighted categories on a 0-100 point scale. For the 2017 performance year, the weighting of each category is as follows:

- **Quality**: 60%
- **Advancing Care Information**: 25%
- **Clinical Practice Improvement Activities**: 15%
- **Resource Use**: 0%

The MIPS Composite Performance Score (CPS) will be compared to the MIPS performance threshold to determine the adjustment percentage the Eligible Clinician will receive.

POTENTIAL IMPACT TO PRACTICES

Larger practices are expected to do better under MIPS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice Size</th>
<th>Percentage Eligible Clinicians Receiving MIPS Penalty</th>
<th>Percentage Eligible Clinicians Receiving MIPS Bonus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Solo</td>
<td>87.0%</td>
<td>12.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-9</td>
<td>74.0%</td>
<td>26.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-24</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>54.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-99</td>
<td>44.4%</td>
<td>55.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100+</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MACRA establishes an allotment of $20 million per year to provide assistance to practices of 15 or less providers to help transition them to APMs or improve MIPS scores.

MACRA: Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act of 2015 (MACRA)

MIPS 2017 REQUIREMENTS

CMS has defined 2017 as the "Transitional Year", where providers can pick their pace to ease transition into the program. There are varying levels for participation:

- **No participation**: Submitting no performance data for 2017 results in a negative 4% payment adjustment in Medicare reimbursement payments for 2019.
- **"Test Pace"**: Submitting the minimum amount of 2017 data to Medicare (for example, one quality measure or one improvement activity for any point in 2017), a negative payment adjustment can be avoided (i.e., neutral payment adjustment).
- **Partial year participation**: Submitting 90 days of 2017 data may earn a neutral or small positive payment adjustment. The max positive adjustment can be earned using this level of participation.
- **Full year**: Submitting a full year of 2017 data may earn a positive payment adjustment. This is the best way to earn the largest positive adjustment.
- **Advanced APM participation**: Practices that participate in an Advanced APM earn a 5% lump sum bonus and are exempt from MIPS.
"TEST PACE": WATCH OUT!

While a negative payment adjustment can be avoided using the Test Pace, other risks should be considered:

**Financial**
- Money left on the table
  - E.g., a provider practice with 25 eligible clinicians with $2M avg./yr in annual Part B payments
  - Neutral (0) adjustment for minimal reporting in 2017 = ~$200K over the first three years of the program

**Regulatory**
- Performance scores will be made public!
  - Healthgrades, Yelp, Payer websites, etc.
  - Provider comparison/selection by patients – competitive advantage!

**WHAT DO WE DO?**

MIPS DATA SUBMISSION OPTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality</th>
<th>Group Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual Reporting</td>
<td>Group Reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Claims</td>
<td>Administrative Claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse Events Reports</td>
<td>Adverse Events Reports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advancing Care Information</th>
<th>Group Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual Reporting</td>
<td>Group Reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Claims</td>
<td>Administrative Claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse Events Reports</td>
<td>Adverse Events Reports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Practice Improvement Activities</th>
<th>Group Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual Reporting</td>
<td>Group Reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Claims</td>
<td>Administrative Claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse Events Reports</td>
<td>Adverse Events Reports</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Use</th>
<th>Group Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual Reporting</td>
<td>Group Reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Claims</td>
<td>Administrative Claims</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHAT'S NEXT: 2018 QPP UPDATES (PROPOSED RULE)

THE 2018 PROPOSED RULE

On June 20, 2017, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) released the 2018 Updates to the Quality Payment Program NPRM (Notice of Proposed Rulemaking). Final rule is expected by November 1, 2017.

Key takeaways from the NPRM include:

- Enhanced focus on small practices. Reduce greater variability by increasing the low-volume threshold from $30k in Part B charges or 100 Part B patients to $90k and 200 patients, respectively. Small practices also get 5 add’tl points added to final score.


- Continue 2017 MIPS performance category weighting (60% Quality, 25% Advancing Care Information, 15% Clinical Improvement Activities, and 0% Cost).

Key takeaways from the NPRM include:

- Introduction of “Virtual Groups”:
  - 10 or less EC per TIN
  - Must elect by 12/1/17
  - MIPS policies generally
  - Model agreement

- Facility Measurement Options
  - Definition: EC must provide 75% of services in an inpatient or emergency room setting
  - Aligns hospital-based providers with Value-Based Purchasing scores
  - Voluntary – opt in or out

- MSSP Track 1+ ACOs included as an Advanced APM

THE 2018 PROPOSED RULE (CONTD.)
THE 2018 PROPOSED RULE (CONTD.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thresholds</th>
<th>2017 Points</th>
<th>2018 Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutral – No Adjustments</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penalty</td>
<td>0–2 Pts (&lt;4% Max)</td>
<td>0 – 14 Pts (−5% Max)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Adjustment (Budget Neutral)</td>
<td>4–6</td>
<td>16–69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive adjustment for exceptional performance (0.5%–10%) + $500 Million</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thresholds</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHO’S DOING WHAT?
CASE STUDIES

CLIENT CASE STUDY #1

- Large multi-hospital healthcare system
  - 8 hospitals
  - 50+ multi-specialty provider clinics
  - ~400 employed physicians

- Newly acquired clinics and providers were not aware of QPP
- No governance structure in place
- Various EHRs in use
- Healthcare system is responsible for provider reporting and attestation for all clinics

- Large multi-hospital healthcare system
  - 8 hospitals
  - 50+ multi-specialty provider clinics
  - ~400 employed physicians

Profile
Challenge
Action

- Education
- Establish governance structure
- Assess QPP

- Evaluate provider performance
- Implement optimization activities (repeat performance evaluation)
- Begin performance data collection

- Education
- Establish governance structure
- Assess QPP
CLIENT CASE STUDY #2

Profile

- Heavy ACO involvement (MSIP Track I ACO), operated by the healthcare system
- New hospitals & practices being actively acquired
- Disparate providers and systems
- Decentralized governance
- Data integrity issues

Challenge

Action

- Enhance communication and data sharing between entities
- Centralize QPP governance/reporting structure
- Assess data governance program
- Identify root cause and resolve data integrity issues
- Formulate reporting strategy
- Educate regions
- Begin performance data collection

WHAT SHOULD I DO NOW?
PREPARATION CONSIDERATIONS

WHAT SHOULD ORGANIZATIONS BE DOING TODAY?
WHAT IS A QRUR REPORT?

- **Reveal Comparative Performance**: QRURs can provide a good sense of how your organization (TIN) is doing as compared to other TINs on the measures included. 2016 performance can also be compared to 2015 performance to see if your organization made improvements in various areas.
- **Determine Baseline Performance**: Performance percentages of the quality measures included in the QRUR can serve as a baseline and provide an estimate of potential MIPS performance in those areas.
- **Identify Quality Measures**: As the quality measures included in the QRUR also used the minimum case volume of 20, some of the same quality measures can be used for 2017 MIPS reporting (i.e., the ones in which your organization excels).
- **Identify Improvement Activities**: Utilize the report by diagnosis to identify the gaps that may need to be worked on. Look for MIPS improvement activities related to those areas. Reporting on these improvement activities will help your organization get credit for the efforts you are already putting in.
- **Get a Heads-up on Cost**: Cost is risk adjusted based on the mix of beneficiaries attributed to the TIN. Paying attention to cost and understanding where your organization stands as compared to other TINs in terms of quality of care delivered and the cost at which it was delivered will help your organization get credit for the value it provides. Reporting on these quality and cost measures will help your organization get credit for the efforts you are already putting in.

QRUR - WHERE IS YOUR DOT?

QRUR – CONNECTING THE DOTS
WHAT SHOULD I REMEMBER –
KEY TAKEAWAYS

RECAP

What is it?
The Quality Payment Program (QPP) was established by the Medicare Access and CHIP Reauthorization Act of 2015 (MACRA), which changed how clinicians are reimbursed for treating Medicare patients. The QPP (traditionally, known as the Medicare reimbursement industry to some) is a focus on quality and value-based care.

Who participates?
Physicians, Physician Assistants, Nurse Practitioners, Clinical Nurse Specialists, Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists, and participants of Advanced APMs (ACOs, CPC+, etc.).

Who doesn’t?
Clinicians who bill less than $30k in Part B charges/year, who provide care for less than 100 Medicare patients/year, or who are in their first year of participating in Medicare (these thresholds proposed to increase in 2018).

How do they participate?
Eligible clinicians choose from either the APM track, or the MIPS track. Most will fall under the MIPS track, but over time APM participation is expected to increase.

RECAP (CONT'D.)

2017 is the Quality Payment Program “transition year.” Physician Medicare reimbursement increases/decreases will begin in 2019, but are based on 2017 performance.

MIPS participants can pick the pace in 2017 to determine +/- 4% adjustment for 2019 Medicare reimbursement. Submitting one quality measure or one improvement activity avoids a negative adjustment, but there are other risks to consider (reputational and financial).

Advanced APM participants receive an automatic 5% incentive payment in 2019 (must receive 25% of Medicare payments or see 20% of Medicare patients through the APM).

Performance data collection must begin no later than Oct. 2nd (90-day reporting period), and must be submitted by March 31st, 2018.

Understand the things your organization can be doing today to prepare!
Q&A

Please feel free to contact us if you have additional questions or would like more information.

**Nicolet Araujo**
Senior Manager
nicolet.araujo@protiviti.com
Office: 1.213.327.1336
Mobile: 1.951.440.8511

Nicolet is a Senior Manager in Protiviti’s Los Angeles office and has over 29 years professional experience providing operational, financial, and regulatory consulting and management direction to the healthcare industry. Nicolet leads Protiviti’s Health Information Management Practice. Nicolet is a veteran healthcare executive, who brings her extensive expertise in implementing transformational projects with an emphasis on novel practices to bear when providing project oversight or direct consulting to client organizations. She is also a frequent speaker on Data and Information Governance, as well as health information management improvement initiatives.

**David Zavala**
Senior Manager
david.zavala@protiviti.com
Phone: 469.374.2444

David is a Senior Manager in Protiviti’s Dallas office and has 14 years of professional experience providing IT, operational, and regulatory consulting services to the healthcare industry. David serves as Protiviti’s Quality and Value Based Care PMO leader for the Eastern U.S. He advises clients on organizational strategies to drive success in value based care programs including initiatives under daytime initiatives or strategic planning, implementation, and compliance around MACRA regulations, Meaningful Use, Electronic Health Records, and Digital Transformation. David has shared expertise and best practices on a variety of topics through speaking engagements at national conferences, webinars, and industry publications.