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Why Should We Care About Bias and Perception?

1. Staff Mindset  
2. Staff Actions  
3. Repercussions
Objectives

1. Breaking down why people often make bad decisions
2. Understanding how risk perception and inherent tendencies negatively impact compliance programs
3. Utilizing tools to identify bias to increase compliance within your organization

What is Bias?

Bias:
Inclination in favor of or against one thing, person, or group compared with another, usually in a way considered to be unfair.
Why Do People Make Often Bad Decisions?

- But everyone else was doing it
- My colleagues looked into their family’s carts and aren’t in trouble
- Compliance is not important
- Compliance is only out to get me
- The hospital across town does this all of the time and their employees never get in trouble

20 COGNITIVE BIASES THAT SCREW UP YOUR DECISIONS

1. Anchoring bias.
2. Availability heuristic.
3. Bandwagon effect.
5. Choice-supportive bias.
6. Clustering illusion.
7. Confirmation bias.
9. Information bias.
10. Intrinsic bias.
11. Intuición bias.
12. Overconfidence.
13. Placeholder bias.
14. Pre-innovation bias.
15. Risky-choice bias.
17. Selective perception.
18. Sunk cost fallacy.
Factors Leading to a Bad Decision

- Recency Bias
- Bandwagon Bias
- Confirmation Bias
- Unconscious Bias
- Stereotyping Bias

What is Recency Bias?
Tendency to weigh the latest information more heavily than older information.

What does that mean:
Staff will tend to rely on situations and facts that has recently occurred, as opposed to relying on issues or facts that may have occurred previously.
Recency Bias

Hypothetical:

Ahmed is an employee of Hospital A. Ahmed has recently accessed a co-worker’s medical record inappropriately, but when the concern was brought forward to compliance the reporter was informed there was not a breach. Compliance was incorrect, but now the reporter will assume Medical record access is appropriate and alert their fellow co-workers.

Recency Bias

Common characteristics of Recency Bias:

- Unwillingness to accept the validity of evidence that disproves new information provided
- Placing greater emphasis on facts that are recent and not the facts as a whole
- Seeking information that is current and possibly disproved opposed to consistent fact
Bandwagon Bias

What is Bandwagon Bias?
Probability of an individual's belief increasing based on the number of individuals who hold that belief.

What does that mean:
Staff will typically rely on information, even if incorrect, when a larger group of their peers hold the same belief.

https://youtu.be/TYlh4MkcfJA

Hypothetical:
Walter and Ahmed are discussing Compliance hot topics at work. Sally joins their conversation and overhears the two discussing the appropriateness of certain medical procedures. Walter states that the hospital can always perform a medically unnecessary procedure on a patient without penalty, so long as the patient alerts the hospital after the procedure of the error. According to Walter, the hospital can still bill the patient but must notate the error within the patient's chart. Ahmed nods and agrees with Walter. Sally doesn't feel the information is correct but goes along with Walter and Ahmed since two minds are greater than one.
Bandwagon Bias

**Common characteristics of Bandwagon Bias:**

- Tendency to overlook fact for what the standard is within their group or department
- Willingness to take risks and ignore rules based on popular opinion
- Desire to “fit in” within their group or department
- Loyal to a department or leader

Unconscious Bias

**What is Unconscious Bias?**

Occurs automatically and without the person being aware of it. The bias has been ingrained into the individual’s thinking and mindset.

**What does that mean:**

Staff will have a desire not to buy into compliance no matter what is said due to a certain way of thinking for years.
Unconscious Bias

Hypothetical:

Ahmed works in HIM and is constantly in charts throughout the day. He is accessing charts without thinking about it. His neighbor was in the hospital and Ahmed thought it would be helpful to look at his neighbor’s chart to provide some guidance, since he is already working on their file. He accesses his neighbor’s chart on days that are outside of his recent visit and not permissible per hospital policy. Compliance is alerted and contacts Ahmed. He informs them that he didn’t even realize what he was doing was wrong, since he looks at so many charts a day. He just accessed as normal.

Unconscious Bias

Common characteristics of Unconscious Bias:

- Unwillingness to accept the validity of evidence that disproves previously held beliefs
- Placing greater emphasis on no facts and only on their understanding opposed to facts presented
- Unwillingness to listen to facts or any form of education
- Selective recollection of events or facts
Confirmation Bias

What is Confirmation Bias?
Favoring information that aligns with your existing beliefs and discounting information that does not

What does that mean:
Staff will ask questions in the hopes to get the answers they are seeking more than trying to understand and follow the rules put in place.

Confirmation Bias

Hypothetical:
Walter wants to access his wife’s medical records, but has been informed by a member of the compliance team that he may not do so. Instead of giving up, Walter decides to ask his Supervisor. His Supervisor informs Walter that access of family charts is appropriate. Walter immediately views his wife’s medical records.

Confirmation Bias

**Common characteristics of Confirmation Bias:**

- Unwillingness to accept the validity of evidence that disproves previously held beliefs
- Placing greater emphasis on facts that benefit their argument
- Actively seeking information that proves their point of view
- Selective recollection of events or facts

Stereotyping Bias

**What is Stereotyping Bias?**

Having an expectation without real information about a person or group

**What does that mean:**

Set in a mindset regardless of actual knowledge or opportunity to see the other side’s position.
Stereotyping Bias

**Hypothetical:**

Ahmed has heard stories of how the compliance department often fires his fellow coworkers. Ahmed has not directly dealt with the compliance department but does not trust them. He refuses to attend any trainings presented by compliance. His goal is to stay away from the compliance department as a whole, since anyone within the department could try and fire him at any time.

Stereotyping Bias

**Common characteristics of Stereotyping Bias:**

- Set predisposition on viewpoint they believe in
- Based on no evidence but instead on personal experience
- Typically harder to change and provide resources to help a change of opinion
- Lack of interest or desire to make changes in viewpoint
Understanding Risk Perception and Inherent Tendencies

Risk Perception – What is it?

- An individual's perceived susceptibility to a threat
- Greatly impacts our actions, and therefore our adoption – or rejection - of practices
- How we perceive risk is highly personal process of decision making that has been developed over a lifetime
How We Process Risk

- We are better adapted to process more obvious and direct risks than abstract ones
  (Pit of Snakes vs. 31 US Code Section 3729)
- We generally weigh risk for consequences vs benefit of action
- “Something bad” doesn’t mean the same thing to everyone
Lack of Understanding of Repercussions or Risk

Potential concerns:
- Staff inability to follow policies and procedures
- Staff consistently taking risks
- Difficulty providing discipline due to a lack of understanding lack of appropriateness
- Serious culture issues

Possible warning signs:
- Types of issues or questions brought forward to compliance
- Pattern of behavior out of a department
- Widespread questions or concerns

Inherent Tendencies

What is Inherent Tendencies?
An inclination towards a certain nature or habit

What does that mean:
Staff’s behavior will be dictated by internal instinct or reaction

Hypothetical:
Ahmed has always been a gossip. He works at a hospital so he can tell great stories to his friends and family. Unfortunately, Ahmed has a tendency to tell stories of friends and family who are patients at the hospital inappropriately.
Routine has been set and they are set in their ways

**Identifying potential routines:**
- Identify cultural issues early
- Provide training and education
- Pay attention to concerns being brought by department to identify any potential trends
- Repeat offenders individually or within a department
- Strong culture of non-compliance
- Communicating the expectations of the organizations and the risks

**What Tools Can Be Utilized**

Utilizing tools to identify bias to increase compliance within your organization

- Strong Compliance Presence
- Tracking
- Trending Concerns
- Education
- Staff Communication
- Leadership Buy-in
- Non-Retaliatory Policy
- Compliance Line
Questions
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Disclaimer
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