Objectives

1. Review sources and latest guidance for hospice risk areas
2. Outline key steps in your risk assessment process – who and what to include
3. Learn important tips to ensure an effective hospice compliance risk assessment process and avoid common challenges.
Purpose and Framework

Hospice Risk Assessments
This can be done verbally, but think it would be good to extend remarks to specific ways some of this might apply to hospice - such as benchmarks and sources of data

Bill Musick, 1/18/2019
Risk Assessment Framework

Compliance:
“... conforming with stated requirements... achieved through management processes which identify the applicable requirements... assess the state of compliance, assess the risks and potential costs of non-compliance against the projected expenses to achieve compliance, and hence prioritize, fund and initiate any corrective actions deemed necessary.”

Risk Management:
“... the set of processes through which management identifies, analyzes, and, where necessary, responds appropriately to risks that might adversely affect realization of the organization’s business objectives.” (Wikipedia, 2019)

The Risk Assessment

- Identifies potential risks that can negatively impact the organization and its payers/clients/referral sources, etc.
- Improves the distribution of resources to support compliance
- Reduces the likelihood and impact of negative consequences
- Identifies areas of highest risk/probability
- Provides some assurances to investors, board of directors, key stakeholders, and investigators
“The Principles of Federal Prosecution of Business Organizations in the United States Attorney’s Manual describe specific factors that prosecutors should consider in conducting an investigation of a corporate entity, determining whether to bring charges, and negotiating plea or other agreements. These factors, commonly known as the “Filip Factors,” include “the existence and effectiveness of the corporation’s pre-existing compliance program” and the corporation’s remedial efforts “to implement an effective corporate compliance program or to improve an existing one.”

Sample Questions

- **Risk Management Process** – What methodology has the company used to identify, analyze, and address the particular risks it faced?

- **Information Gathering and Analysis** – What information or metrics has the company collected and used to help detect the type of misconduct in question? How has the information or metrics informed the company’s compliance program?

- **Manifested Risks** – How has the company’s risk assessment process accounted for manifested risks?
The Risk Assessment

Conducted annually to:

• Prioritize risks
• Develop and implement internal plans and audits related to risk areas
• Determine reasons for errors
• Develop corrective action plans based on audit findings
• Assign accountability
• Provide monitoring and oversight methods

A Formal Process

Documented process to facilitate the:

• Systematic identification of specific hazards that could negatively impact an organization's ability to conduct business.
• Assessment of the severity and likelihood of those hazards
• Implementation of control measures to avoid or minimize risk
Risk Assessment Framework

The Team

- Compliance Officer
- Compliance Committee
- Experienced leaders in the organization
- Attorney: Consider whether the risk assessment should be done under privilege

What can go wrong?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Billing</th>
<th>State Requirements</th>
<th>Federal Requirements</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Likelihood

Probability based on:
- Prior experience
- Internal or external reports
- Industry data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial</th>
<th>Legal</th>
<th>Reputational</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Impact

Need for action?

- Risk avoidance
- Risk mitigation/controls
Risk Assessments can be subjective:
• Probability/likelihood
• Severity/Impact
• Influenced by prior experience (or lack of experience)

Use objective data whenever available:
• Audits
• Edits
• Industry information (regional/national benchmarks)
Suggest thinking of some examples: Repayment/Recoupment: GIP $ billed, Average Revenue per Admission; Reputational: feedback from other hospices with CIAs = actual cost of implementing CIA plus loss in referrals

Bill Musick, 1/21/2019
Risk Assessment Framework

- High level / Drill down
- Categories of risks
  - Domain (can be department, responsible party, etc.)
    - Category
    - Sub-Category
- Determine the scope of the assessment
- Determine where each risk “fits” in the assessment
- Allow modifications to the framework

(Source: Advanced Risk Assessment Design: A Framework and Methodology, Swenson, 2018)

---

Clinical Documentation

3.1 Certification/Recertification

3.1.1 Physician narratives that do not consistently include the clinical findings of the face-to-face encounter

3.1.2 Physician narratives that do not consistently provide evidence of a terminal prognosis
3.1.2 Physician narratives do not consistently provide evidence of a terminal prognosis

Findings: Clinical record audit indicated 48% compliance rate with this requirement

Responsible Party: VP of Clinical Services.

Target Completion Date: 8/1/2019

Plan:
- Senior Medical Director to develop and provide training for all hospice physicians by 6/1/2019
- Patient Care Coordinators to receive same training by 6/15/2019

Continued

- Senior Medical Director to meet one-on-one with hospice physicians who have <90% compliance rate by 6/15/2019
- Patient Care Coordinators to implement audits as follows:
  - 100% of clinical records for each medical director monthly until compliance rate is 100%.
  - 20% of clinical records monthly for 90 days.
  - If compliance rate drops below 100% during 90 day review, clinical audits to continue and additional corrective action plans to be developed.
• Examine causal factors
• Use Root Cause Analysis
• Review the entire chain of events

Example: Problematic physician narratives
• Processes in place (e.g., timing, information available)
• Support available
• Training provided (e.g., purpose, requirements under CoPs, significance, responsibility, liability)
• Accountability in place

• Payment denials/recoupment
• Defense (150 ADC hospice = $250,000+ legal and consulting fees)
• Fines & penalties
• Implementation of a CIA
• Reputational damage
• Employee perceptions
Risk Assessment Framework

- Reduce or prevent errors
- Assist the organization in achieving its mission
- Protect against financial liability
- Assist in the delivery of high quality care
- Improve financial performance
- Protect reputation
- Improve outcomes

The Value of a Risk Assessment

- Provide formal documentation and other evidence that there is:
  - A process to identify and document risks
  - A method to determine risk sources
  - A method to prioritize risks
  - A strategy to manage risks

(continued)
Risk Assessment Framework

- A process to implement and monitor the status of the risk management plan
- Evidence of adequate resources
- Assignment of responsibilities
- Demonstration of adequate training for responsible parties
- Evidence of ongoing monitoring of the plan.

Goals of a Risk Management Plan

Follow-Up Risk Assessments

- Review prior assessments and progress on mitigating risks
- Carry-over all relevant items
- Review organizational data for new risks
- Review industry data for additional risks
- Assess organizational performance against risks
Hospice-Specific Considerations

Hospice Risk Assessments

HHS OIG Reports

Strategic (Periodic) Reports
- Strategic Plan
- Work Plan
- Semi-Annual Updates to Congress
- Unimplemented Recommendations
- HHS Top Management Challenges

Topical Reports
- Data Briefs
- Investigative Advisories
- Alerts

Corporate Integrity Agreements

Videos
Poll: What is your primary source of compliance guidance updates?

1. Industry Association – National 5%
2. Industry Association – State 0%
3. Compliance Association 11%
4. Peer Network 0%
5. Government Agency List Servs 44%
6. Law or Consulting Firm 16%
7. Other 22%
Poll: If you provide hospice services, which of the following is most accurate?

1. I haven’t heard of this report 23%
2. I’ve heard of the report, but haven’t carefully looked at it 47%
3. I’ve read through it 11%
4. I’ve used the items in the report as part of a risk assessment for my organization 17%

70% had not carefully looked at this report
Hospice Vulnerabilities

Hospices Do Not Always Provide Adequate Services to Beneficiaries and Sometimes Provide Poor Quality Care

- Key services are sometimes lacking
  - Fewer services than outlined in the plans of care
  - Adequate nursing, physician, or medical social services for General Inpatient care stays
    Example: 17 days of general inpatient care with no in-person visits, only phone calls to family caregivers
  - Effective management of pain and/or symptoms
    Examples: Uncontrolled pain throughout 16 days in GIP care with no change in pain medication until the last day; Respiratory symptoms uncontrolled for 14 days on GIP

Hospice Vulnerabilities

Hospices Do Not Always Provide Adequate Services to Beneficiaries and Sometimes Provide Poor Quality Care (cont’d)

- Hospices often do a poor job care planning
  - Did not meet plan of care requirements in 85 percent of GIP stays
  - In NFs, hospices failed to meet requirements for plan of care for 63% of claims
  - Did not involve all members of the interdisciplinary group in establishing the plans or failed to include a detailed statement of the scope and frequency of needed services in the plans of care.
- In 2016, 665 hospices provided only one level of care
- Most beneficiaries do not see a hospice physician, even on GIP
- Prematurely enrolling beneficiaries results in loss of curative treatment benefits
Hospice Vulnerabilities

Beneficiaries and Their Families Do Not Receive Crucial Information To Make Informed Decisions About Hospice Care

- CMS provides beneficiaries little information about hospice quality
- Hospices often provide beneficiaries incomplete or inaccurate information about the benefit. Statements often neglect to specify that the beneficiary was electing the Medicare hospice benefit as opposed to Medicaid hospice or some other insurance where eligibility criteria and election periods may differ from those of Medicare.
- Other required information on election statements is often missing

Inappropriate Billing by Hospices

- Hospices frequently bill Medicare for a higher level of care than the beneficiary needs
  - Billing for inappropriate levels of care (48% of GIP stays in SNFs were inappropriate with 30% in other settings).
  - Insufficient clinical documentation.
- Medicare sometimes pays twice for the same service
  - Drugs paid through Part D for hospice beneficiaries when payment for these drugs should have been covered by the daily rate paid to the hospice.
  - Medicare also paid twice for physician services for hospice beneficiaries under both the Part A hospice benefit and Part B even though from the same physician, on the same day, for the same beneficiary and terminal illness
Hospice Vulnerabilities

Inappropriate Billing by Hospices

• Hospice physicians are not always meeting requirements when certifying beneficiaries for hospice care ....the physicians did not explain their clinical findings or attest that their findings were based on their examination of the beneficiary or review of the medical records.
• Hospice fraud schemes are growing and include kickbacks and false billing

Hospice Vulnerabilities

Payment System Creates Incentives for Hospices To Minimize Services and Seek Beneficiaries with Uncomplicated Needs

• Hospices typically provide less than 5 hours of visits per week
• Hospices seldom provide services on weekends
• Hundreds of hospices target beneficiaries in certain settings who have long lengths of stay
  • Over one-third of beneficiaries in ALFs received hospice care for more than 180 days
  • 263 hospices had more than two-thirds of their beneficiaries in nursing facilities
Hospice Vulnerabilities - Recommendations

CMS should strengthen the survey process to better ensure that hospices provide beneficiaries with needed services and quality care

- CMS should identify hospices that do not provide all levels of care, infrequently provide physician services, or rarely provide care on weekends
- CMS should identify hospices with repeat deficiencies and instruct surveyors to focus on these problem areas during their reviews

Hospice Vulnerabilities - Recommendations

CMS should develop and disseminate additional information on hospices to help beneficiaries and their families and caregivers make informed choices about their care

- Develop other claims-based information and include it on Hospice Compare, such as: the average number of services a hospice provides, the types of services, how often physician visits are provided, and how often a hospice provides services on weekends.
- Include on Hospice Compare deficiency data from surveys, including information about complaints filed and resulting deficiencies.
Hospice Vulnerabilities - Recommendations

Promote physician involvement and accountability to ensure that beneficiaries get appropriate care

- Ensure that a physician is involved in the decisions to start and continue general inpatient care such as:
  - Requiring the hospice to obtain a *physician’s order to change the level of care to general inpatient care* and including the ordering physician’s National Provider Identifier on the hospice claim
  - Have the *physician sign off on the level of care at reasonable intervals during the general inpatient care stay*

Hospice Vulnerabilities - Recommendations

Strengthen oversight of hospices to reduce inappropriate billing

- Analyze claims data to identify hospices that engage in practices or have characteristics that raise concerns.
  - High *percentage of beneficiaries in SNFs and/or ALFs*
  - High *percentage of beneficiaries with diagnoses that require less complicated care*
  - *Not providing all levels of hospice care*
- Take appropriate follow-up actions
  - Initiate probe and educate reviews
  - Provide education
  - Conduct prepayment reviews
  - Make referrals to law enforcement or Recovery Auditors
Hospice Vulnerabilities - Recommendations

**Strengthen oversight of hospices to reduce inappropriate billing**

- Increase oversight of general inpatient care claims, *particularly care provided in SNFs*
- Implement a comprehensive prepayment review strategy to address *lengthy general inpatient care stays*
- Develop and execute a strategy to work directly with hospices to ensure that they are *providing drugs covered under the hospice benefit as necessary* and that the cost of drugs covered under the benefit are not inappropriately shifted to Part D.

**Take steps to tie payment to beneficiary care needs and quality of care to ensure that services rendered adequately serve beneficiaries’ needs**

- Assess the current payment system to determine what changes may be needed to tie payments to beneficiaries’ care needs and quality of care
- CMS should assess the *accuracy of hospice cost reports*
- Modify the payments for hospice care in nursing facilities.
Hospice Vulnerabilities – Key Take-Aways

1. Track and manage to key indicators:
   - Distribution of levels of care
   - Frequency of physician services
   - Percent of care on weekends

2. Carefully manage and test plans of correction for deficiencies

3. Enhance documentation for General Inpatient Care
   - Obtain physician’s order to change the level of care to general inpatient care
   - Record physician sign off on the level of care at reasonable intervals (daily)

4. Ensure accuracy of cost reports

HHS OIG Workplan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active</th>
<th>New in 2018</th>
<th>Completed in 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEW: Protecting Medicare Hospice Beneficiaries From Harm

This study is a companion to Trends in Hospice Deficiencies and Complaints (OEI-02-17-00020), in which we determine the extent and nature of hospice deficiencies and complaints and identify trends. For this study, we will use the survey reports to provide more detail about poor-quality care that resulted in harm to beneficiaries. We will describe specific instances of harm to Medicare hospice beneficiaries and identify the vulnerabilities in Medicare's process for preventing and addressing harm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Announced or Revised</th>
<th>Report Number(s)</th>
<th>Expected Issue Date (FY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 2018</td>
<td>OEI-02-17-00021</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEW: Medicare Payments Made Outside of the Hospice Benefit

Hospices assume responsibility for medical care related to the beneficiary's terminal illness and related conditions, while Medicare continues to pay for services that are not related to the terminal illness. OIG reviews have identified separate payments that should have been covered under the hospice per diem payments. We will produce summary data on all Medicare payments made outside the hospice benefit, and conduct reviews of selected individual categories of services (e.g., durable medical equipment, prosthetics, orthotics and supplies, physician services, outpatient) to determine whether payments made outside of the hospice benefit complied with Federal requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Announced or Revised</th>
<th>Report Number(s)</th>
<th>Expected Issue Date (FY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 2018</td>
<td>W-00-17-35797, W-00-18-35797</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OIG Work Plan - Hospice

Duplicate Drug Claims for Hospice Beneficiaries

Medicare Part A pays hospices a daily per diem amount which is designed to cover the cost of drugs related to the terminal illness. Accordingly, Medicare Part D drug plans should not pay for prescription drugs related to a hospice beneficiary’s terminal illness. Previous OIG work found that Medicare may have paid twice for prescription drugs for hospice beneficiaries, under the Part A per diem rate and again under Part D. We will review the appropriateness of Part D drug claims for individuals who are receiving hospice benefits under Part A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Announced or Revised</th>
<th>Report Number(s)</th>
<th>Expected Issue Date (FY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August 2017</td>
<td>W-00-17-35802; A-06-17-xxxxx</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OIG Work Plan - Hospice

Review of Hospices: Compliance with Medicare Requirements

Federal regulations address Medicare conditions of and limitations on payment for hospice services (42 CFR Part 418, Subpart G). We will review hospice medical records and billing documentation to determine whether Medicare payments for hospice services were made in accordance with Medicare requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Announced or Revised</th>
<th>Report Number(s)</th>
<th>Expected Issue Date (FY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 2016</td>
<td>W-00-16-35783; various reviews</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OIG Work Plan - Hospice

Trends in Hospice Deficiencies and Complaints

CMS contracts with State survey agencies and national accreditation organizations to conduct onsite surveys of hospices for certification and in response to complaints. Previous OIG reports raised concerns about the limited enforcement actions against poorly performing hospices. As part of OIG’s ongoing commitment to address quality of care, we will determine the extent and nature of hospice deficiencies and complaints and identify trends.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Announced or Revised</th>
<th>Report Number(s)</th>
<th>Expected Issue Date (FY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June 2017</td>
<td>OEI-02-17-00020</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OIG Work Plan - Hospice

Frequency of Nurse On-Site Visits to Assess Quality of Care and Services

Medicare requires that a registered nurse make an on-site visit to the patient's home at least once every 14 days to assess the quality of care and services provided by the hospice aide and to ensure that services ordered by the hospice interdisciplinary group meet the patient's needs (42 CFR § 418.76(h)(1)(i)). We will determine whether registered nurses made required on-site visits to the homes of Medicare beneficiaries who were in hospice care.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Announced or Revised</th>
<th>Report Number(s)</th>
<th>Expected Issue Date (FY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 2016</td>
<td>W-00-16-35777</td>
<td>2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key Take-Aways: OIG Work Plan

1. Tracking and taking steps to prevent harm
2. Communicating hospice responsibility for costs of drugs and services related to terminal diagnosis and prognosis
3. Strong documentation of:
   - Eligibility
   - Pain and symptom control
   - Physician involvement
4. Response to complaints (process improvement)
5. Compliance with requirement RN visit every 14 days to assess the quality of care by the hospice aide and to ensure that services ordered by the interdisciplinary group meet the patient's needs

Top Management Challenges - HHS
Top HHS Management Challenges

Protecting the Health and Safety of Vulnerable Populations – Hospice Care

Key Components
- Most beneficiaries, including beneficiaries with complex needs, do not see a hospice physician, and key services to control pain and manage symptoms are sometimes lacking.
- Hospice beneficiaries and their caregivers may not receive the information they need to make informed decisions.
- Investigations have uncovered fraudulent practices

Top HHS Management Challenges

Key Take-Aways
- Strong documentation of explanation of benefit and election
- Strong documentation of eligibility for hospice and advanced levels of care
- Evidence of physician involvement
- Evidence of pain and symptom control
Nursing Home-Hospice Contracting

Common Facility/Hospice Ownership

Growth in:
- Common ownership of hospice agencies and nursing homes
- Proportion of hospice enrollees and nursing home residents receiving care from nursing homes and hospices with common ownership

Characteristics:
- Longer hospice stays
- Higher number of visits from registered nurse/licensed practical nurse in the last 7 days of life, yet...
- Lower proportion of days with any kind of hospice visit
- Fewer visit hours per day.
Common Facility/Hospice Ownership

**Monitor Nursing Facility patients vs overall patient population:**

- Rates of live discharge
- Lengths of stay
- Proportion of stays less than or equal to three days
- Registered nurse/licensed practical nurse visits in the last 7 days of life
- Proportion of days with any kind of hospice visit
- Visit hours per day

Recent Areas of Focus: CMS Contractors
Noridian as the Supplemental Medical Review Contractor (SMRC) for CMS is conducting post-payment review of claims for general inpatient hospice billed from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017.

Performant, as Recovery Audit Contractor (RAC) is reviewing charges for hospice-related equipment and services:

8/16/2018  Physician services billed during an active hospice period Region 1: NY, ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT, OH, KY, IN, and MI

9/20/2018  DME billed concurrent with hospice services Region 5 – All States

11/28/2018  Part A Outpatient Services related to a Hospice terminal diagnosis.  Region 1: NY, ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT, OH, KY, IN, and MI

Overall Key Take-Aways

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Does hospice...</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o provide all levels of care? &lt;HV&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o have repeated deficiencies? &lt;HV&gt; If so, are plans of correction in place and being monitored for effectiveness?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o have repeated complaints? &lt;HV, WP&gt; If so, are plans of correction in place and being monitored for effectiveness?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o have a process to ensure the cost of drugs are not inappropriately shifted to Part D &lt;WP, HV&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o have a process to ensure that related DME, supplies and services are not billed outside of hospice benefit &lt;WP, RAC&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o have a process to ensure accuracy of hospice cost reports &lt;HV&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o o have a process to ensure and document that RN makes an in-home visit at least every 14 days to assess the quality of care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o o Appropriately signed and dated election statement with all required elements &lt;TMC, WP&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o o Appropriate and timely face-to-face documentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o o Physician certification of terminal illness that clearly addresses terminal illness and limited prognosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o o Timely verbal orders in lieu of signed orders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o o Consistency in documentation of appropriate hospice LCD elements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o o Clear rationale for the need for increased levels of care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o o o have clear documentation and exception tracking for pain and symptom control &lt;TMC, WP&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Overall Key Take-Aways

Not required, but recommended to address stated government concerns:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>regularly provide physician services &lt;HV, TMC&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of GIP patients receiving daily visit &gt; 75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of patients on service more than 60 days with physician visits &gt; 25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>provide care on weekends approaching levels of care on weekdays (at least 10% of total visits per day) &lt;HV&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>obtain a physician’s order to change the level of care to general inpatient care &lt;HV&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>have the physician sign off on elevated levels of care at reasonable intervals &lt;HV&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>high percentage of beneficiaries in SNFs and/or ALFs &lt;HV&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>high percentage of beneficiaries with diagnoses that require less complicated care (e.g. dementia) &lt;HV&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not required, but recommended to address stated government concerns: ALF/SNF Patients:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rates of live discharge (compare to percentile in PEPPER reports)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>long lengths of stay (&gt; 90 days)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>short lengths of stay (3 days or less)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RN/LPN visits in the last 7 days of life</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Proportion of days with any hospice visit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Visit hours per day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Overall Key Take-Aways

Not required, but recommended best practices to avoid compliance issues:

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>require manager certifications that all known overpayments have been identified and repaid (CIA)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>have program to encourage a “speak-up” culture for patients, families and staff wrt to patient or opioid abuse, missed visits, copy and paste documentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>have defined process for investigation, follow-up and process improvement for identified issues from “speak-up” culture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>have a verification process for visits, especially F2F and RN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tips and Common Challenges

Hospice Risk Assessments

Risk Assessment Challenges Considerations…. Before You Start

- Understand the organization’s mission and objectives
- Assess for competing organizational priorities
- Determine Attorney Client Privilege
- Assign Authority… QAPI Committee - Compliance Committee - Audit Committee
- Establish and agree on the results reporting format
  - Percentages, traffic lights, high/ moderate/ low
  - Who receives the report
  - What is the plan for follow-up
Risk Assessment Organizational Challenges

- Size
- Selecting a framework
- Structure - test compliance at the level of accountability
  - Single site, multi-site
  - Single or multiple business lines
- Hierarchy
- Roles and responsibilities of
  - Compliance Department
  - QAPI Program and Process
  - Legal Department
  - Internal Audit Department
- “Buy-in”

Organizational Size and Structure

**Challenge:** Size and structure may influence the risk universe and risk criteria

**Tip:** Cast a wide net and develop a common set of factors with which to evaluate the risk universe

**Challenge:** Numerous risk assessment theoretical frameworks

**Tip:** Ensure the sophistication and detail level of the chosen framework parallels the size and structure of the organization. Regardless of which framework you use:
1. Develop your assessment criteria
2. Assess the risks
3. Prioritize the risks
Organizational Hierarchy and Roles

**Challenge:** How to determine who in the organization will make the decisions about how to identify risk? Organizations have limited resources allocated to mitigate risk

**Tip:** Risk assessments are most effective and accurate when personnel from different units and with various levels of supervisory responsibility are involved. Involve different levels of personnel from different departments and strive to receive in-put from across the organization; but determine ahead of time which department will conduct/manage the assessment.

Organizational Buy-in to Risk Assessments

**Challenge:**
- Stakeholder compliance expectations are high
- Risk exposure in Hospice is high

**Tips:**
- Harness the power of the data for meaningful change
- Focus organizational messaging on advanced warning of lurking problems prior to them becoming potentially damaging
- Address Legal implications before you start the risk assessment
- Determine assessment criteria that will actually assess risk instead of repeat auditing of known problems
Informal Risk Assessment

**Tips:**

- Communication
  - Have a process in place to monitor multi-site decentralization, including remote support teams
  - Pay attention to multi-site locations “home grown processes” or individuals who express discontent with the organization’s culture
  - Share hot line results between HR and Compliance

- Social media
  - Encourage executive management to practice transparency with messaging to avoid cynical employee chatter

Informal Risk Assessment (cont’d)

**Tips:**

- Recruitment and exits
  - Hire character first
  - Does your organization value people equally during the exit and hiring processes?

- Rewards
  - Make them consistent with organizational values
Work Plans

**Challenge:** How to make the work plan a living, breathing document

**Tips:**
- Develop the work plan into a roadmap based on the risk assessment results that will guide the organization through the processes of:
  - Prioritization of goals
  - Specifying detail (responsible party, timeline, etc.)
  - Assigning resources to achieve the goals
- Make the work plan adaptable
  - Government regulations change, contractor audits are received, staffing fluctuates, leadership changes
- Make the work plan manageable
  - Use tight timelines versus multi-year
  - Make the tasks specific and singular
  - Ensure adequate resources are available to conduct the tasks
- Review the work plan on a pre-determined regular basis

### Compliance Work Plan

**Work Plan Period:** 01/01/19-03/01/19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM # TASK</th>
<th>OBJECTIVE</th>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>ASSIGNED TO</th>
<th>START DATE</th>
<th>TARGET DUE DATE</th>
<th>COMPLETION DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.00 Policies, Procedures and Standards of Conduct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10 Develop Standards of Conduct - Review formats, review content - compliance responsibilities, fair and equitable discipline, duty to report, methods of reporting and non-retaliation [Essentials #5-13, 279]</td>
<td>#1- P&amp;P’s &amp; Standards of Conduct</td>
<td>Priority 1</td>
<td>In Progress</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.11 Develop training for Standards of Conduct including mechanisms for new hires (Refer to 3.1)</td>
<td>#1- P&amp;P’s &amp; Standards of Conduct</td>
<td>Priority 2</td>
<td>Not Started</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.12 Obtain Completed Attestations</td>
<td>#1- P&amp;P’s &amp; Standards of Conduct</td>
<td>Priority 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.13 Develop mechanism to communicate Standards to contractors and vendors (See 1.23)</td>
<td>#1- P&amp;P’s &amp; Standards of Conduct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.14 Review/Revise Employee Handbook to reflect one organizational standard of conduct.</td>
<td>#1- P&amp;P’s &amp; Standards of Conduct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.21 Review/Revise Corporate Program Policies</td>
<td>#1- P&amp;P’s &amp; Standards of Conduct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compliance Work Plan

Work Plan Period: 01/01/19-03/01/19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM #</th>
<th>TASK</th>
<th>OBJECTIVE</th>
<th>PRIORITY</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>ASSIGNED TO</th>
<th>START DATE</th>
<th>TARGET DUE DATE</th>
<th>COMPLETION DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>Review/Revise Clinical and Operational Policies and Procedures related to compliance</td>
<td>#1- P&amp;P's &amp; Standards of Conduct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>Load Standards of Conduct to intranet</td>
<td>#1- P&amp;P's &amp; Standards of Conduct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>Review/Revise policy archiving system</td>
<td>#1- P&amp;P's &amp; Standards of Conduct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Developing Hospice Work Plans

- Incorporate Risk Assessment findings
- Incorporate OIG work plan items as appropriate to your organization
- Cross reference and incorporate aspects of the Vulnerabilities Report findings as appropriate to your organization
  - Review Election statement
  - Review visit frequencies
  - Review Billing practices as related to LOC
  - Review Plans of Care for individualization and detail
Final Tips for Developing a Hospice Work Plan

• Remain focused on the identified goal
  • Be specific to enable deliverables to stay on track
  • Maintain priority focus
• Only measure what you need to know
  • Avoid over complicated plans
• Provide involved employees with a fixed time commitment to avoid “availability” issues that could potentially delay or derail the plan for the entire team
• Stay current
  • “Grey-out” completed tasks
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