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Overview of Presentation

• The Anti-Kickback Statute
• Exposure for AKS Violations
• Government Players in AKS Enforcement
• Recent Trends in Enforcement
• Practice Pointers for AKS Compliance
The Anti-Kickback Statute (AKS)
42 U.S.C. §1320a-7(b(b)

(1) Whoever knowingly and willfully solicits or receives any remuneration (including any kickback, bribe, or rebate) directly or indirectly, overtly or covertly, in cash or in kind—
(A) in return for referring an individual to a person for the furnishing or arranging for the furnishing of any item or service for which payment may be made in whole or in part under a Federal health care program, or
(B) in return for purchasing, leasing, ordering, or arranging for or recommending purchasing, leasing, or ordering any good, facility, service, or item for which payment may be made in whole or in part under a Federal health care program,
shall be guilty of a felony and upon conviction thereof, shall be fined not more than $100,000 or imprisoned for not more than 10 years, or both.

(2) Whoever knowingly and willfully offers or pays any remuneration (including any kickback, bribe, or rebate) directly or indirectly, overtly or covertly, in cash or in kind to any person to induce such person—
(A) to refer an individual to a person for the furnishing or arranging for the furnishing of any item or service for which payment may be made in whole or in part under a Federal health care program, or
(B) to purchase, lease, order, or arrange for or recommend purchasing, leasing, or ordering any good, facility, service, or item for which payment may be made in whole or in part under a Federal health care program,
shall be guilty of a felony and upon conviction thereof, shall be fined not more than $100,000 or imprisoned for not more than 10 years, or both.
The Anti-Kickback Statute (AKS)
The English Version

**Breaking It Down**

Offering or asking for something of value in exchange for referring any service or good that is paid for by Federal Health Programs is BAD – actually criminal conduct.

BUT: it has to be on purpose, knowing that what you are doing is wrong.

---

**Offering or Soliciting Remuneration**

Not just money, but that is most obvious.

Things of value such as:
- office space on the cheap (FMV)
- paying for staff in office
- discounts on products (not under safe harbor)
- services such as practice development
- event sponsorships
- travel
The Anti-Kickback Statute (AKS)
The English Version

In return for:
- Referring for services
- Ordering equipment
- Using devices
- Plan of care

Paid for by Federal Healthcare Program

Don’t forget DOL, VHA, and Federal employees workers’ compensation, among others
The Stakes

Violations punishable by up to 10 years in prison.

Criminal Penalty of up to $100,000 per violation

False Claims Act Liability of Three Times the Amount of All Claims Submitted that Were Caused by the Kickback plus penalties of $11,000 to $22,000 per claim

Exclusion from Government Payor Programs

The Players

- Office of Counsel to the Inspector General, Department of Health and Human Services
  - Industry Guidance Branch – Advisory Opinions and other guidance documents
  - Administrative and Civil Remedies Branch – FCA, Self-Disclosures, Exclusion, CMPL
  - Administrative Litigation Branch- Affirmative Exclusions and CMPL
- Office of the Inspector General Department of Health and Human Services
  - Investigations, Agents
  - Exclusions Branch
- United States Department of Justice
  - The United States Attorney’s Office
  - Criminal Division at the Department of Justice
  - Civil Division at the Department of Justice (Civil Frauds)
  - The Federal Bureau of Investigation
- State Medicaid Fraud Control Units
- Defense Criminal Investigative Services (DCIS)
Recent Cases – Covidien, March 11, 2019

Covidien to Pay Over $17 Million to The United States for Allegedly Providing Illegal Remuneration in the Form of Practice and Market Development Support to Physicians

Covidien LP has agreed to pay $17,477,947 to resolve allegations that it violated the False Claims Act by providing free or discounted practice development and market development support to physicians located in California and Florida to induce purchases of Covidien’s vein ablation products, the Department of Justice announced today.

Recent Cases -- Covidien

Products
• ClosureFast™ radiofrequency ablation catheters that treat veinous reflux disease

Marketing and practice development services as remuneration
• Customized marketing plans
• Scheduling and conducting lunch and learn meetings and dinners with other physicians to drive referrals
• Providing substantial assistance in planning, promoting and conducting vein screening events to cultivate new patients for those practices

Investigation prompted by two whistleblower suits
Recent Cases – Inform Diagnostics

$63.5 million dollar settlement for AKS violations

Resolved allegations that laboratory provided referring physicians subsidies for electronic health records and free or discounted technology services

Investigation prompted by three whistleblower lawsuits:

- United States ex rel. Dorsa v. Miraca Life Sciences, Inc., Case No. 13-cv-1025 (M.D. Tenn.);
- United States ex rel. LPF, LLC v. Miraca Life Sciences, Inc., et al., 3:16-cv-1355 (M.D. Tenn.); and
Recent Cases – Phony Foundations

Settlement with seven pharmaceutical companies for $624 million

Allegations
◦ Some prescription drugs covered under Medicare require significant co-payments by the beneficiary
◦ The companies used supposedly charitable foundations as conduit to make co-payments for beneficiaries that used their drugs
Recent Cases – Price Fixing and AKS

• Antitrust case where Heritage Pharmaceuticals Inc. entered a deferred prosecution agreement with the United States for pricing fixing, bid rigging and allocating customers for generic drugs

• False Claims Act resolution in the amount of $7.1 million was for violations of AKS based on theory that Heritage paid and received remuneration in the form of price, supply and allocation of customers with other pharmaceutical manufacturers
Recent Cases – Sham Speakers Program

Avanir Pharmaceuticals Paid Total of $108 million to resolve criminal and civil liability
- $95 million for civil False Claims Act liability
- Deferred Prosecution Agreement with company
- $7.8 million criminal penalty
- Over $5 million in forfeiture
- Four individuals indicted
- Corporate Integrity Agreement with HHS-OIG
Recent Cases – Sham Speakers Program

• Drug Nuedexta was approved by FDA for treatment of pseudobulbar affect (PBA) – involuntary frequent and sudden episode of crying or laughing, often occur secondary to neurological disease or brain injury.

• Avanir alleged to have paid money, honoraria, travel and food to induce physicians to order the drug.

• One form of remuneration was payment to give talks about Nuedexta. The events were primarily social with no educational value.


Recent Cases - EHR CDS Alerts

Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Monday, January 27, 2020

Electronic Health Records Vendor to Pay $145 Million to Resolve Criminal and Civil Investigations

Practice Fusion Inc. Admits to Kickback Scheme Aimed at Increasing Opioid Prescriptions

Practice Fusion Inc. (Practice Fusion), a San Francisco-based health information technology developer, will pay $145 million to resolve criminal and civil investigations relating to its electronic health records (EHR) software, the Department of Justice announced today.
Recent Cases- EHR CDS Alerts

- Criminal and Civil resolution.
- Practice Fusion admitted that it solicited and received kickbacks from a major opioid company in exchange for utilizing its EHR software to influence physician prescribing of opioid pain medications through CDS alerts.
- Practice Fusion has executed a deferred prosecution agreement and agreed to pay over $26 million in criminal fines and forfeiture.
- In separate civil settlements, Practice Fusion has agreed to pay a total of approximately $118.6 million resolve allegations that it, among other things, accepted kickbacks from the opioid company and other pharmaceutical companies.
- In exchange for “sponsorship” payments from pharmaceutical companies, Practice Fusion allowed the companies to influence the development and implementation of the CDS alerts in ways aimed at increasing sales of the companies’ products.
- Investigation was not predicated on a qui tam.

Recent Cases- MSOs

Department of Justice
Office of Public Affairs

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Tuesday, November 26, 2019

Laboratory to Pay $26.67 Million to Settle False Claims Act Allegations of Illegal Inducements to Referring Physicians

Laboratory Boston Heart Diagnostics Corporation (Boston Heart), of Framingham, Massachusetts, has agreed to pay $26.67 million to resolve False Claims Act allegations involving payments for patient referrals in violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute and the Stark Law, as well as claims otherwise improperly billed to federal healthcare programs for laboratory testing, the Department of Justice announced today.
Recent Cases- MSOs

- Boston Heart allegedly agreed to provide laboratory testing services to small Texas hospitals in exchange for per-test payments.

- Boston Heart allegedly coordinated with the hospitals’ independent marketers, who set up companies known as management service organizations (MSOs), to make payments to referring physicians that were disguised as investment returns but were actually based on, and offered in exchange for, the physicians’ referrals.

- Boston Heart allegedly helped the MSOs identify physician targets, referred interested physicians to the MSOs to secure their business, and participated with the MSOs in sales pitches to offer physicians money in exchange for referrals. As a result, physicians allegedly referred patients to the Texas hospitals and Boston Heart for laboratory tests performed by Boston Heart, which were then billed to Medicare, Medicaid, and TRICARE.


Practice Pointers

Compliance Program/Policies
- Different programs for different organizations (OIG guidance for various types of organization)
- Baseline policies regarding AKS/Stark
- Legal/compliance review of any financial transaction with referral source
- Hard stops in place for certain transactions until review by legal/compliance

Scrutinize all relationships and transactions with referral sources
- Compensation and bonus arrangements
- Office space leases
- Direct and indirect relationships, including downstream marketing
- Analyze FMV in advance of every relationship or transaction
  - Failure to conduct FMV analysis is major red flag in subsequent investigation
  - On the other hand a credible FMV analysis can cut an investigation short early

Speakers Bureaus, CME, and Training Programs
- Fair exchange of money for services
- Tracking of referral patterns – double edge sword
Practice Pointers

- Remuneration – can be just about anything.
  - Prosecutors are going to ask if the referral source gained a benefit
  - Practice Development example
  - Anti-Trust case considered remuneration the price fixing conspiracy
  - DOJ will go beyond the face of the relationship
    - Charitable Foundations linked to pharma
    - Management Services Contracts – what services are being provided in exchange for the amounts being paid
    - Purpose behind a relationship that appears to meet a safe harbor

- Engage all relevant government players in resolutions.

New World -- Proposed Rules

- Regulatory Sprint Proposed Rules
- Potential opportunities for new and innovative relationships to coordinate care
- Potential opportunities for evolving kickbacks despite safeguards