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The Queen’s Health Systems

The Queen’s Medical Center
• Level 1 Trauma Center located in downtown Honolulu
• 575 acute beds
• Admissions 24,906
• ER visits 65,854
• OP visits 314,351

The Queen’s Medical Center - West O’ahu
• Community hospital located in ‘Ewa
• 80 acute beds
• Admissions 4,597
• ER visits 52,850
• OP visits 44,019

Molokai General Hospital
• Critical Access Hospital located in Kaunakakai on Molokai
• 15 bed rural health care facility
• Admissions 95
• ER visits 5,278
• OP visit 18,226

North Hawai‘i Community Hospital
• Rural acute care in Waimea on Hawai‘i
• 35 acute beds
• Admissions 1,707
• ER 14,220 visits
• OP 55,431
• Home Health affiliate
## The Queen’s Health Systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnostic Laboratory Services, Inc.</strong></td>
<td>• Locally owned and operated commercial laboratory&lt;br&gt;• Over 40 locations statewide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Queen Emma Land Company</strong></td>
<td>• Manages and enhances the income-generating potential of lands left to The Queen’s Hospital by Queen Emma, and additional properties owned by QHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Queen’s Development Corporation</strong></td>
<td>• Manages Queen’s Health Care Centers with 7 locations on Oahu, Big Island and Kauai, POBs, OP pharmacies &amp; parking garages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Queen’s Insurance Exchange, Inc.</strong></td>
<td>• Provides liability insurance coverage for QHS and affiliates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CareResource Hawai’i</strong></td>
<td>• Delivers home based and community health care, serving Oahu, Molokai, Maui and Hawaii Island.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hamamatsu/Queen’s PET Imaging Center, LLC</strong></td>
<td>• Maintains and operates a positron emission tomography (PET) research and diagnostic imaging center (the PET Center)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Queen’s Clinically Integrated Physician Network</strong></td>
<td>• Physician-led network transforming health care by developing and adopting clinical best practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Queen’s ‘Akoakoa, LLC</strong></td>
<td>• Accountable Care Organization&lt;br&gt;• Quality improvement, knowledge and support, and successful payment models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Queen’s MSSP ACO, LLC</strong></td>
<td>• Accountable Care Organization: Medicare program created to encourage health care providers and entities to work together to improve patient health and reduce unnecessary costs of care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

## Objectives

“Rapid fire” overview of hot topics that keep showing up with regulators and within the enforcement community.
Agenda

• New Types of MAC Reviews
• Telemedicine and upcoming changes
• Continued scrutiny of device credits
• Provider-Based location challenges
• 340B program changes
• More appearances of Shared Decision Making
• And Others!

NEW TYPES OF MAC REVIEWS
Targeted Probe & Educate (TP&E)

HOW DOES IT WORK?

If chosen for the program, you will receive a letter from your Medicare Administrative Contractor (MAC).

The MAC will review 20-40 of your claims and supporting medical records.

You will be given at least a 45-day period to make changes and improve.

If some claims are denied, you will be invited to a one-on-one education session.

If compliant, you will not be reviewed again for at least 1 year on the selected topic.*

If not compliant, you will be reviewed again for at least 1 year on the selected topic.

*MACs may conduct additional review if significant changes in provider billing are detected.

TP&E

Select Topics/Providers for Targeted Review Based Upon Data Analysis*

Round 1

Educate – Can Occur Intra-Probe

Probe 20-40 Claims Per Provider/Supplier

Compliant?

Yes

No

Round 2

Educate – Can Occur Intra-Probe

Allow ≥ 45 Days (so provider has time to improve)

Improvement – Provider Compliant?

Yes

No

Round 3

Educate – Can Occur Intra-Probe

Allow ≥ 45 Days (so provider has time to improve)

Improvement – Provider Compliant?

Yes

No

MAC Shall Refer the Provider to CMS for Possible Further Action**

Discontinue For at least 12 months

*Data Analysis definition per PUB 100-08, §2.2
**Further Action May Include Extrapolation, Referral To ZPIC/UPIC, etc.
TP&E

WHAT ARE SOME COMMON CLAIM ERRORS?

- Missing or incomplete orders or certifications/recertification
- Expired orders or certifications/recertifications
- Signature missing on order, certification/recertification, encounter note
- Documentation does not meet medical necessity (NCD, LCD, Conditions of Payment)

WHAT IF THERE IS NO IMPROVEMENT?

CMS has reported that the majority of those that have participated in the TPE process increased the accuracy of their claims. However, failure to improve after 3 rounds of TPE will be referred to CMS for next steps.
Provider Billing Analysis Letters (PBAL)

BASED ON DATA ANALYTICS
EDUCATIONAL IN NATURE

Noridian Healthcare Solutions, LLC (Noridian) is the Jurisdiction E (JE) Medicare Administrative Contractor (MAC). In order to fulfill our contractual obligation with the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS), Noridian Medical Review develops a strategy using data analysis to aid in improper payment rate reduction. Noridian Medical Review performs routine data analysis to evaluate for billing utilization changes or patterns and initiates one-on-one educational letters. This letter is strictly educational in nature.

PBAL

BRIEF OVERVIEW OF SPECIFIC CPT/HCPCS CODE COVERAGE REQUIREMENTS
RECOMMENDATION TO SELF-REVIEW TO DETERMINE SUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION
SUMMARY OF ACTIONS TO TAKE IF DEFICIENCIES DISCOVERED

Noridian encourages you to review the requirements for this service and evaluate for appropriateness. Please note, this letter is not a reflection of your competence as a health care professional or of the quality of care you provide to your patients. You are receiving this letter based on data analysis of billing patterns by your PTAN.

Summary
In summary, Noridian monitors provider billing patterns on an ongoing basis. Providers are encouraged to review Medicare Regulations to determine if documentation supports Medicare reimbursement for services which are billed in an appropriate manner. Additionally, Providers/Suppliers may consider the following actions:

• Submit voluntary refunds to Medicare for any identified overpayments.
• Provide education regarding error(s) noted to applicable staff members.
• Review and update internal controls or processes if any errors are identified.
TELEMEDICINE AND UPCOMING CHANGES

Telemedicine – Medicare (Current)

Medicare Part B covers expenses for telehealth services on the telehealth list when those services are delivered via an interactive telecommunications system, provided certain conditions are met (42 CFR § 410.78(b)). To support rural access to care, Medicare pays for telehealth services provided through live, interactive videoconferencing between a beneficiary located at a rural originating site and a practitioner located at a distant site. An eligible originating site must be the practitioner’s office or a specified medical facility, not a beneficiary’s home or office.
Medicare Telehealth Payment Eligibility Analyzer

Search Criteria
Please provide a street address, city, and state or a street address and ZIP Code.

Street Address: 2805 Dale Street
City: Honolulu
State: Hawaii
ZIP Code: 96816

Search

Medicare Telehealth Payment Eligibility Analyzer Results
Input address: 2805 Dale Street, Honolulu, Hawaii 96816
Geocoded address: 2805 Dale St, Honolulu, Hawaii, 96816

Yes, the address provided is eligible for Medicare telehealth payment.

Medicare Telehealth Payment Eligibility Analyzer Results
Input address: 2805 Dale Street, Honolulu, Hawaii 96816
Geocoded address: 2805 Dale St, Honolulu, Hawaii, 96816

No, the address provided is not eligible for Medicare telehealth payment.

https://datawarehouse.hrsa.gov/tools/analyzers/geo/Telehealth.aspx

Telemedicine – Medicare (OIG Audit)

What OIG Found
CMS paid practitioners for some telehealth claims associated with services that did not meet Medicare requirements. For 69 of the 100 claims in our sample, telehealth services met requirements. However, for the remaining 31 claims, services did not meet requirements. Specifically:

- 24 claims were unallowable because the beneficiaries received services at nonrural originating sites,
- 7 claims were billed by ineligible institutional providers,
- 3 claims were for services provided to beneficiaries at unauthorized originating sites,
- 2 claims were for services provided by an unallowable means of communication,
- 1 claim was for a noncovered service, and
- 1 claim was for services provided by a physician located outside the United States.

We estimated that Medicare could have saved approximately $3.7 million during our audit period if practitioners had provided telehealth services in accordance with Medicare requirements.

How OIG Did This Review
We reviewed 191,118 Medicare paid distant-site telehealth claims, totaling $13.8 million, that did not have corresponding originating-site claims. We reviewed provider supporting documentation for a stratified random sample of 100 claims to determine whether services were allowable in accordance with Medicare requirements.
Telemedicine – Medicare (OIG Audit)

Review of Medicare Payments for Telehealth Services

Medicare Part B covers expenses for telehealth services on the telehealth list when those services are delivered via an interactive telecommunications system, provided certain conditions are met (42 CFR § 410.79(b)). To support rural access to care, Medicare pays for telehealth services provided through live, interactive videoconferencing between a beneficiary located at a rural originating site and a practitioner located at a distant site. An eligible originating site must be the practitioner’s office or a specified medical facility, not a beneficiary’s home or office. We will review Medicare claims paid for telehealth services provided at distant sites that do not have corresponding claims from originating sites to determine whether those services met Medicare requirements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Announced or Revised</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Report Number(s)</th>
<th>Expected Issue Date (F/Y)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>October 2017</td>
<td>Centers for Medicare &amp; Medicaid Services</td>
<td>Review of Medicare Payments for Telehealth Services</td>
<td>Office of Audit Services</td>
<td>W-00-16-35790; A-05-16-00008</td>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Telemedicine – Medicare (Recent Changes)

Elimination of GT modifier for telehealth services

Effective January 1, 2018

- GT modifier no longer to be used on claims for professional services provided by telehealth (was used to signify all telehealth requirements met)
  - Exception: GT modifier still required for distant site services billed under Critical Access Hospital (CAH) method II on institutional claims
- New place of service code 02 established to indicate telehealth services being billed meet all requirements
### Telemedicine – Medicare (Upcoming Changes)

**Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018**

**Effective 2019:**
- Telesroke services – expanded eligible geographic and originating site
- Home dialysis patient physician visits (with in-person visits at specified intervals)

**Effective 2020:**
- Allowing Medicare Advantage plans to expand telehealth coverage as part of the basic benefits package
- Providers participating in certain Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs) may offer telehealth services to patients in their homes

---

### Telemedicine – Medicare (Proposed Changes)

**Medicare Physician Fee Schedule 2019 Proposed Rule**

**Use of “virtual technologies” – not technically telemedicine**
- Virtual Check-Ins – brief non-face-to-face check-in with a patient via communication technology, to assess whether the patient’s condition necessitates an office visit
- Evaluation of Asynchronous Images and Video – review of recorded video and/or images captured by a patient in order to evaluate the patient’s condition and determine whether an office visit is necessary
- Peer-to-Peer Internet Consultations – telephone, internet or EHR consultations with treating physician without patient face-to-face contact for specific expertise
Telemedicine – Hawaii Parity

$431:10A-116.3 Coverage for telehealth. (a) It is the intent of the legislature to recognize the application of telehealth as a reimbursable service by which an individual shall receive medical services from a health care provider without face-to-face contact with the health care provider.

(b) No accident and health or sickness insurance plan that is issued, amended, or renewed shall require face-to-face contact between a health care provider and a patient as a prerequisite for payment for services appropriately provided through telehealth in accordance with generally accepted health care practices and standards prevailing in the applicable professional community at the time the services were provided. The coverage required in this section shall be subject to all terms and conditions of the plan agreed upon among the enrollee or subscriber, the insurer, and the health care provider.

(c) Reimbursement for services provided through telehealth shall be equivalent to reimbursement for the same services provided via face-to-face contact between a health care provider and a patient. Nothing in this section shall require a health care provider to be physically present with the patient at an originating site unless a health care provider at the distant site deems it necessary.

$432:1-601.5 Coverage for telehealth. (a) It is the intent of the legislature to recognize the application of telehealth as a reimbursable service by which an individual shall receive medical services from a health care provider without face-to-face contact with the health care provider.

(b) No accident and health or sickness insurance plan that is issued, amended, or renewed shall require face-to-face contact between a health care provider and a patient as a prerequisite for payment for services appropriately provided through telehealth in accordance with generally accepted health care practices and standards prevailing in the applicable professional community at the time the services were provided. The coverage required in this section may be subject to all terms and conditions of the plan agreed upon among the enrollee or subscriber, the insurer, and the health care provider.

(c) Reimbursement for services provided through telehealth shall be equivalent to reimbursement for the same services provided via face-to-face contact between a health care provider and a patient. Nothing in this section shall require a health care provider to be physically present with the patient at an originating site unless a health care provider at the distant site deems it necessary.

$4320-23.5 Coverage for telehealth. (a) It is the intent of the legislature to recognize the application of telehealth as a reimbursable service by which an individual shall receive medical services from a health care provider without face-to-face contact with the health care provider.

(b) No accident and health or sickness insurance plan that is issued, amended, or renewed shall require face-to-face contact between a health care provider and a patient as a prerequisite for payment for services appropriately provided through telehealth in accordance with generally accepted health care practices and standards prevailing in the applicable professional community at the time the services were provided. The coverage required in this section may be subject to all terms and conditions of the plan agreed upon among the enrollee or subscriber, the insurer, and the health care provider.

(c) Reimbursement for services provided through telehealth shall be equivalent to reimbursement for the same services provided via face-to-face contact between a health care provider and a patient. Nothing in this section shall require a health care provider to be physically present with the patient at an originating site unless a health care provider at the distant site deems it necessary.

$5346-59.1 Coverage for telehealth. (a) The State’s Medicaid managed care and fee-for-service programs shall not deny coverage for any service provided through telehealth that would be covered if the service were provided through in-person consultation between a patient and a health care provider.

(b) Reimbursement for services provided through telehealth shall be equivalent to reimbursement for the same services provided via face-to-face contact between a health care provider and a patient. Nothing in this section shall require a health care provider to be physically present with the patient at an originating site unless a health care provider at the distant site deems it necessary.

(c) There shall be no geographic restrictions or requirements for telehealth coverage or reimbursement under this section.

(d) There shall be no restrictions on originating site requirements for telehealth coverage or reimbursement under this section.
Continued Scrutiny of Device Credits

Department of Health and Human Services
OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL

HOSPITALS DID NOT ALWAYS COMPLY WITH MEDICARE REQUIREMENTS FOR REPORTING COCHLEAR DEVICES REPLACED WITHOUT COST

For the 116 incorrectly billed claims we identified, hospitals received $2,685,588 in Medicare overpayments. These overpayments occurred because hospitals did not have controls to identify and report no-cost replacements they received from cochlear device manufacturers.
Continued Scrutiny of Device Credits

All 296 payments reviewed for recalled cardiac medical devices did not comply with Medicare requirements for reporting manufacturer credits. Medicare contractors incorrectly paid hospitals $7.7 million for cardiac device replacement claims rather than the $3.3 million they should have been paid, resulting in potential overpayments of $4.4 million.
Continued Scrutiny of Device Credits

Regulatory citation: 42 CFR 412.89

§412.89 Payment adjustment for certain replaced devices.

(a) General rule. For discharges occurring on or after October 1, 2007, the amount of payment for a discharge described in paragraph (b) of this section is reduced when—

(1) A device is replaced without cost to the hospital;

(2) The provider received full credit for the cost of a device; or

(3) The provider receives a credit equal to 50 percent or more of the cost of the device.

(b) Discharges subject to payment adjustment. (1) Payment is reduced in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section only if the implantation of the device determines the DRG assignment.

(2) CMS lists the DRGs that qualify under paragraph (b)(1) of this section in the annual final rule for the hospital inpatient prospective payment system.

(c) Amount of reduction. (1) For a device provided to the hospital without cost, the cost of the device is subtracted from the DRG payment.

---

Continued Scrutiny of Device Credits

Be sure you are reporting device credits properly when submitting claims to Medicare

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coding/Billing Issue</th>
<th>Inpatient</th>
<th>Outpatient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>What Condition Code do I use?</td>
<td>49—replaced within lifecycle</td>
<td>49—replaced within lifecycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50—recalled and replaced</td>
<td>50—recalled and replaced</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What Value Code and amount do I use?</td>
<td>FD—dollar amount of the price reduction or credit</td>
<td>FD—dollar amount of the price reduction or credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How do I report a no-cost item charge?</td>
<td>If your system allows it, use $0.00</td>
<td>If your system allows it, use $0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If $0.00 is not allowed, use $1.00</td>
<td>If $0.00 is not allowed, use $1.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Continued Scrutiny of Device Credits

IMPORTANT POINT:
In OIG audits, it is important to note that they have focused not only on credits received, but also credits **not pursued**
Provider-Based Location Challenges

Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015, Section 603

• Effective 1/1/2017, no off-campus hospital outpatient department may bill under OPPS unless:
  • Dedicated Emergency Department
  • Excepted/grandfathered

Excepted/grandfathered (must meet one of the below criteria):

• Provided and billed under OPPS for covered outpatient services prior to 11/2/2015
• On campus or within 250 yards of the main hospital or remote location of a multi-campus hospital

Due to comments regarding billing challenges for hospitals, CMS did allow hospitals to continue to bill on the institutional claim forms (UB), allowing revenue to appear associated with the appropriate cost center

• Use modifier “PN” to designate services at non-excepted locations
• Rate paid is much lower than OPPS rate
  • 2017: 50% of OPPS rate for same services at excepted locations
  • 2018: reduction to 40% of OPPS rate
  • 2019 (proposed): remains at 40% of OPPS rate
340B PROGRAM CHANGES

340B

The 340B Drug Pricing Program was established by Congress in 1992, with a goal of reducing the price of covered drugs for certain participating entities which, in turn, provides additional resources (by money saved) to serve underserved and indigent patients.

A recent Congressional reports notes, however:
“Congress did not clearly identify the intent of the program and did not identify clear parameters, leaving the statute silent on many important program requirements.”
The proposed “340B Program Omnibus Guidance“ (“Mega-Guidance”) was issued on 8/25/2015 and included clarification on some of the areas with apparent regulatory deficiencies, including but not limited to:

- Definition of “patient”
- Registration of off-campus “child sites”
- Scope of eligible drugs
- Contract pharmacy arrangements

In part, due to the volume of comments received, the issuing agency delayed finalizing the guidance.

On 1/20/2017, the new administration withdrew the proposal as part of the overall regulatory freeze.
340B

One thing that did change recently in the 2018 OPPS Final Rule was a downward adjustment of Medicare payment for drugs purchased through the 340B program to Average Sales Price (ASP) minus 22.5% from the prior rate of ASP plus 6%.

MORE APPEARANCES OF SHARED DECISION MAKING
## Shared Decision Making

**Affordable Care Act, Section 3506:** Program to Facilitate Shared Decision Making  
**January 5, 2010**

CMS Beneficiary Engagement and Incentives (BEI) Models  
Shared Decision Making (SDM) Model  
**December 8, 2016**

- Applies to Accountable Care Organizations (ACO)  
- *Hospitals engaged through requirements of NCDs*

---

## NCD 210.14 Lung Cancer Screening with Low Dose Computed Tomography

**B. Nationally Covered Indications**  
Counseling and Shared Decision Making Visit

Before the beneficiary’s first lung cancer LDCT screening, the beneficiary must receive a counseling and **shared decision making visit** that meets all of the following criteria, and is **appropriately documented in the beneficiary’s medical records:**

<...>

Must include all of the following elements:

<...>

**Shared decision making**, including the use of one or more decision aids, to include benefits and harms of screening, follow-up diagnostic testing, over-diagnosis, false positive rate, and total radiation exposure
More Shared Decision Making in NCDs

- NCD 20.34 Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure (LAAC)
  Effective 2/8/2016

- Decision Memo for Implantable Cardioverter Defibrillators CAG-00157R4
  Dated 2/15/2018

NCD 20.34 Percutaneous Left Atrial Appendage Closure (LAAC)

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) covers percutaneous LAAC for non-valvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF) through Coverage with Evidence Development (CED) with the following conditions:

<...>

The patient must have:

<...>

- A formal shared decision making interaction with an independent non-interventional physician using an evidence-based decision tool on oral anticoagulation in patients with NVAF prior to LAAC. Additionally, the shared decision making interaction must be documented in the medical record.

<...>
Decision Memo for Implantable Automatic Defibrillators (CAG-00157R4)  
Dated February 15, 2018

CMS is finalizing relatively minimal changes to the ICD NCD 20.4 from the 2005 reconsideration. The Decision Memo issued on February 15, 2018 includes the following changes to the NCD:

- Patient Criteria
  <...
  - Require a patient shared decision making (SDM) interaction prior to ICD implantation for certain patients.

AND OTHERS!
NO INPATIENT ADMISSION ORDER NEEDED???


“... it has come to our attention that some otherwise medically necessary inpatient admissions are being denied payment due to technical discrepancies with the documentation of inpatient admission orders. Common technical discrepancies consist of missing practitioner admission signatures, missing co-signatures or authentication signatures, and signatures occurring after discharge.”

“...we have concluded that if the hospital is operating in accordance with the hospital CoPs, medical reviews should primarily focus on whether the inpatient was medically reasonable and necessary rather than occasional inadvertent signature documentation issues unrelated to the medical necessity of the inpatient stay.”

2019 IPPS Final Rule published in the Federal Register August 17, 2018

• Removing requirement that written inpatient admission orders are a specific requirement for Part A payment
• Other available documentation, i.e. physician certification statement when required, progress notes, or the medical record as a whole can support that all coverage criteria (including medical necessity) are met if the hospital is operating in accordance with CoPs
• No change in the requirement that the patient must be formally admitted as an inpatient under an order for inpatient admission, just no denial of payment for technical discrepancies for signature and/or signature timing issues if supported by physician admission and progress notes and other documentation
• CMS believes that technically defective orders are rare
• This does NOT change the “two-midnight” payment policy
E&Ms FOR OFFICE/OUTPATIENT SETTINGS

2019 MPFS Proposed Rule

- Single payment rate for E&M visit levels 2-5
  - Add on payments for inherent complexity for primary care services, inherent complexity associated with certain non-procedural services, prolonged visit
  - Multiple procedure payment adjustment when furnished with procedure on same day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Current Payment* (established patient)</th>
<th>Proposed Payment**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$22</td>
<td>$24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$45</td>
<td>$44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$74</td>
<td>$93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$109</td>
<td>$135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$148</td>
<td>$172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Reduced documentation requirements – focus on Medical Decision Making and/or time

IMAGING – Appropriate Use Criteria (AUC)

Protecting Access to Medicare Act of 2014 (PAMA) - established a program requiring adherence to AUC using clinical decision support (CDS) for advanced imaging services

Components (as originally established):

- Establishment of AUC by 11/15/2015 COMPLETE
- Specification of CDS mechanisms for consultation with AUC by 4/1/2016 COMPLETE
- AUC consultation by ordering professionals and reporting on AUC consultation by furnishing professionals by 1/1/2017 DELAYED
- Annually identify outlier ordering professionals for services after 1/1/2017 DELAYED
**IMAGING – Appropriate Use Criteria (AUC)**

Current status (MPFS 2018 Final Rule):

- AUC consultation and reporting requirements effective for services provided on or after 1/1/2020, and reflected on claim using ordering practitioner NPI and designated modifier

  - Voluntary reporting period for “early adopters” 7/1/2018 – 12/31/2019

  - Educational and operations testing 1/1/2020 – 12/31/2020 where claim will be paid regardless of whether AUC consultation is correctly included on claim

  - “We hope practitioners will use this time to make good faith efforts to accurately report information on the claim”

**IMAGING – Appropriate Use Criteria (AUC)**

More details are in this document
QUESTIONS?