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Privacy, Security, & Compliance Challenges: Vendor Management

Healthcare Vendors in the News

- Nuance
- eClinicalWorks
- Allscripts
Vendor Security Must Improve

- Requirements Definition
- Pre-Contract due diligence
- Contract security specifications
- Performance monitoring
- Breach Notification
- Contract termination
- Documentation

Defining Requirements

- Examine scope of effort
- Determine what level of Minimum Necessary
- Identify security requirements
- Develop SLAs for Privacy and Security
- Incorporate into RFI, RFP and/or SOW
- Classify vendor
- Not all vendors create the same risk
Due Diligence: Pre-Contract

- Tailor requests to scope of contract
- Security standard followed
- Include privacy and security questionnaire
- Request documentation
- Review third-party assessments
- Proof of training
- Conduct site visit
- Privacy and security incident history

Contract Security Specifications

- Define expectations, material changes, subcontractors
- Minimum Necessary
- Transmission, storage & processing
- Incident response
- Audit/monitoring
- Reporting requirements
- Contingency operations
Maintenance

• For contracts lasting more than six months
• Periodic audits of key processes
• Testing of contingency plans/operations
• Renewal of third-party assessments

Breach Notification

• Timeliness of notifications
• Assistance in investigation/risk assessment
• Indemnification for certain costs
• Notifications to public
Contract Termination

• Termination for cause vs. end of contract
• Disposition of data if in receipt
• User/system access
• Reminder of Minimum Necessary
• Other continued responsibilities

Assessing for Compromise: Business Associate?

• Hospital vendor’s pager network dispatches lab, imaging and respiratory services. Messages contain PHI. No business associate agreement in place.
  - PHI identifiable and sensitive
  - Stored on vendor’s IT system
  - PHI acquired by vendor and workforce
  - BA agreement now in place
• Has PHI been “compromised?”
OCR Desk Audit Results

OCR Audit Findings

- Total covered entities audited: 166
  - 103 for Privacy and Breach Rule compliance
  - 63 for Security Rule compliance
  - Break down of covered entities
    - 9% Health Plans
    - 1% Clearinghouses
    - 90% Providers
- Business associates audited: 41
  - All assessed for Breach Notification and Security Rule compliance
OCR Audit Findings

- OCR comments about the audit process: Under OCR’s separate, broad authority to open compliance reviews, OCR could decide to open a separate compliance review in circumstances were significant threats to the privacy and security of PHI are revealed through the audit.

OCR Compliance Rating Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CEs: Privacy, Security and Breach Notification Rules

#### Rating at each end of the rankings for all entities (103)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breach Rule</th>
<th>5 Rating</th>
<th>1 Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timeliness of Notification</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content of Notification</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPP Content</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic NPP</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Analysis</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Rankings of the covered entities audited (103)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breach Rule</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timeliness of Notification</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content of Notification</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privacy Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provision of the NPP</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPP Content</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access right</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security Rule</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Analysis</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BAs: Security and Breach Notification Rules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breach Rule</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Notification to CE</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Security Rule</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Risk Analysis</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CE and BA Risk Analysis Comparison

![CE and BA Risk Analysis Comparison Chart](image-url)
CE and BA Risk Management Comparison

### Industry Take-Away

#### Best Outcomes
- Providing timely notice of breach
- Posting of NPP on website
- Providing required NPP content

OCR will examine entity practices for lessons learned that can be shared in technical assistance

#### Most Room for Improvement
- Risk Management
- Risk Analysis
- Enabling Individual Access

OCR is working to enhance technical assistance in those areas

OCR is working to enhance technical assistance in those areas
Some Good News

• First the good news
  – The new OCR Director, Roger Severino, is quoted as saying, “No” to the question will there be a, “Phase three audit program” at the 2018 HIMSS conference in early March
  – He also stated that OCR was reviewing the regulations to see if they could reduce “undue burden” on the industry

Now the Bad News

• Now the bad news
  – At the same HIMSS meeting, Director Severino was also quoted as saying, “We’re looking for the big, juicy egregious cases” for enforcement and;
  – There is, “No slowdown in our enforcement efforts” and the agency will continue with the same “enforcement mindset.”
  – Later in March 2018 at the 27th National HIPAA Summit, Director Severino revised the statement about no more audits made at the 2018 HIMSS meeting to say that there were not audits planned in the immediate future.
    o The requirement under HITECH for OCR to perform audits does not grant him the authority to discontinue the audits.

Ransomware: Business Associate

• Large ambulatory network infected with ransomware
  – Ransomware attempted to encrypt shared drives hosted on EHR hosting provider’s servers - but quick detection allowed the link to be severed.
  – Virtual server was rebuilt and back online in approximately an hour.
  – The ambulatory network remained offline for over a week while the on-site networks and systems were rebuilt.

Enforcement Trends
Enforcement Highlights

- 55 OCR settlements
  - $79 Million
  - In settlements & CMPs
- 13 settlements in 2016
- 10 settlements in 2017
- 2 settlement in 2018
- 40 of 58 enforcement actions arose from breach reports to HHS

3 Civil Money Penalty Actions

- $1,299,004
- Average settlement amount

- 9 Required internal monitor
- 5 Required external monitor
- Monitor required in 10 out of 55

How May Cases Does OCR Handle?

Breaches Reported September 2009 through December 31, 2017

- >2,100 reports of breach of PHI affecting 500 or more individuals
- >305,000 reports of breaches affecting fewer than 500 individuals
- >200 million individuals affected by a breach involving their PHI

Investigations and Closures

- >171,000 complaints received since 2003
  - 66% are closed after intake & review
- Compliance review initiated into each breach affecting >500 individuals
- >25,600 cases/compliance reviews resolved with corrective action or technical assistance
Issues Raised in OCR Enforcement

- Most resolution agreements cite to Security Rule
  - Enterprise wide risk analysis is foundation
  - Managing/control of devices & media (& encryption)
- Assessment of organization when performing hybrid covered entity analysis
- Failure to have BA agreements with contractors, vendors, or corporate parent
- Using PHI for marketing without obtaining authorization
- Allowing media access to treatment areas without obtaining patient authorization
- Failure to perform timely breach notification

OCR Guidance Year in Review

- Themes behind guidance issued in 2016-18
  - How HIPAA Privacy and Security Rule apply to areas involving health IT
    - HIPAA and cloud computing
    - Ransomware and malware incidents
  - Patient access to their PHI, sharing PHI with third parties and fees that can be charged
  - Disclosures of PHI through health IT and health information exchange permitted for treatment and health care operations
Serious & Imminent Threat to Health

- OCR guidance modifies permitted disclosures to prevent serious and imminent threat to health & safety
- Example: Doctor whose patient has overdosed on opioids believes patient poses serious & imminent threat to his or her health through continued opioid abuse upon discharge; informs friends & family
- Prior guidance that it applies in those rare circumstances that threat is extremely time sensitive and urgent conditions to clear & present danger
- Can this be applied to other types of scenarios?
- “Traditional” Privacy Rule required demonstrable, particularized, & imminent threat

Thank You!
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