

Research Compliance Conference Research Compliance 101

Presented by:

Kevin Eskew, CHC Managing Director Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP 312.876.2538 keskew@sonnenschein.com Fred Herman, CHRC Manager, Research Compliance University of Maryland Medical System 410.328.4391 fherman@umm.edu

April 21, 2010



Compliance & Research

Compliance & Research

- > Compliance Officers focus on reducing risks.
- > Day-to-day activity is informed by various external and internal drivers.
 - OIG Work Plan
 - Internal compliance work plan
 - HIPAA guidance
 - Data security
 - Results of internal and external audits
 - Adherence to the Seven Fundamental Elements of an Effective Compliance Program

Compliance Officers function to protect their institutions from risk, preserve the integrity of their clinical programs, and enhance the safety of their institution's facilities, data, patients, staff, and physicians.



olume of Activity	1
Complexity	1
Competition	1
scrutiny	T T
emand for Accountability	
arge investments in facilities	1
Pressure to maintain / reduce adm	nin costs
unding levels	

Compliance & Research Overview of Complexities > Primary Risk Areas:

- Faculty start-ups
- Conflicts of Interest
- Equity interests of institution
- International collaboration
- Sub-recipient monitoring
- Human subjects protections
- Researcher misconduct
- · OMB circular A-21
- · Cost accounting standards
- Tech transfer
- · Clinical trials billing
- Stem cells and other scientific controversy
- grants.gov and clinicaltrials.gov
- HIPAA / Privacy
- · Investigator Salary and Effort

- > Competing or Misaligned Interests:
 - Principal investigators ("PIs")
 - Research support (research asst., CRCs, RRNs, etc.)
 - Students
 - · Board members
 - Tax payers
 - Federal agencies
 - Commercial sponsors
 - · Suppliers and procurement specialists
 - Foundations
 - · Donors and investors
 - Human subjects
 - Advocacy groups
 - Institutional, Departmental, and Divisional administrators

Compliance & Research Rationale for Having a Research Compliance Program > Oversight, management, and mitigation of the risks cited on prior slide. > Leadership and guidance for the various constituents and stakeholders with a role in preserving institutional integrity and compliance. 1. Sufficient compliance and administrative leadership over ≻ Need for experts who understand that research health care research is fundamentally 2. Effective policies & SOPs different from other operational 3. Solid internal controls (both preactivities of a health care organization. and post-award) Activities "look" the same as other key practices and processes, but the nuance and dynamics are often mistaken or incorrectly applied. · This can exacerbate risks.





Implications of Non-Action What Happens When Oversight is Insufficient?

Reputational Impact

- No organization wants to become the "poster child" for wrongdoing.
 - Northwestern (\$5.5MM), Hopkins (\$2.6MM) & Harvard (\$2.4MM) effort
 - Penn informed consent & COI
 - Mayo Clinic (\$6.5 MM) & Yale (\$7.6MM) cost transfers, effort, cost sharing
 - Rush (\$1MM) clinical trial billing
 - Vermont research misconduct
 - U. of Oklahoma informed consent
- These cases, and many others, have brought considerable unwanted attention to research institutions.

Regulatory Consequences

- Corporate Integrity Agreements and Certification of Compliance Agreements
- Loss of letter of credit funding authorization
- Suspension, debarment, and exclusion of individuals (or even entire programs or institutions) engaged in research
- · Additional monitoring

Implications of Non-Action What Happens When Oversight is Insufficient?

> Operational Changes

- In the absence of effective controls, the impact on operations is often significant. It can result in many of the following outcomes:
 - Additional training
 - New policies and SOPs
 - New work flow
 - Re-organization of personnel
 - Additional approvals and review periods from superiors
 - Heightened credentialing

> Financial Repercussions

- · Fines and penalties
- Cost to enhance operations
- Litigation and legal defense costs considerable liability risk
- Funding loss
- · Financial impact of reputational consequences cited on prior slide























Are too many of them greater than 90-120 days after original charge? > Is documentation on cost transfers adequate?



Financial Management of Federal Awards Strategies to Reduce the Risk

All Areas

- Roles & responsibilities
- Policies & SOPs
- > IT solutions rather than paper-based work flow
- Include these areas in monitoring plans
- > Update and enforce training for PIs and other relevant stakeholders

Effort

- > Update processes and systems and assign a "champion" to monitor
 - Timely payroll adjustments
 - Track total committed effort
 - Use cost share accounts
- Review NOGAs to identify greater than 100% committed effort
- Review actual IBS relative to proposed IBS
- > Ensure that cost sharing implications are understood

Financial Management of Federal Awards Strategies to Reduce the Risk

Cost Transfers

- Require documentation (reason/nature of error, explanation of how the cost benefits the project it is being moved to, etc.)
- > Faster account set up...consider pre-award accounts
- > Standardize forms

Sub-recipient Monitoring

- > Develop sub-contracting templates (meeting A-133 requirement)
- > Develop process to pre-qualify sub-recipients
- > Monitoring protocols (PI-approval for invoices, etc.)

The complexity of the regulations for federal awards and the implications of non-compliance are severe. AMCs, in particular, depend on federal funds so ensuring that research compliance efforts include ample understanding of the difference between federal and commercial awards is critical.



Export Controls are important federal regs that define the compliant manner with which foreign nations and foreign countries are to be dealt with in terms of technology, IP, services, and data associated with research activities.

What is Affected by Export Controls?

- Foreign students and researchers participation in research associated with a controlled technology
- > Ability to provide services to foreign nationals
- > Ability to send controlled equipment internationally
- > Disclosure of proprietary information

Oversight of Export Controls

- 1. Dept. of State: Military technology
- 2. Dept. of Commerce: "Dual use" technology
- 3. Dept. of Treasury: Prohibits transactions with adverse countries











Human Research Protections

Other Strategies To Evaluate the Risk?

- Review IRB rosters to assure IRB membership appropriately reflects the types of studies reviewed.
- Review IRB minutes to assure conflicted members were excused from all meetings during deliberations and votes.
- Review sample publications against IRB approval records to determine whether studies actually received prior approval.
- Review documentation of researcher training/certifications to assure compliance with grant or other applicable mandates.
- Review IRB minutes and interview staff to assure that problems are promptly identified and addressed and that any mandatory reporting is promptly completed ... don't delay for overwrought investigation.



Human Research Protections What are Some Strategies to Reduce Risk? > Accreditation ... but note limitations Tracer Audits Document consistency? · IRB files and minutes indicative of appropriate and thorough reviews? · Has the study been re-approved at least annually and were the necessary findings made? Does the informed consent form comply with applicable requirements? Do investigator files include copies of signed consent forms for all subjects, including screen failures? • Timely reporting of events (i.e., AEs and SAEs), protocol deviations, etc. > SOPs for managing instances of non-compliance Audited protocols are rarely error-free, and multiple levels of controls exist to avoid major problems (IRB, institution, and sponsor oversight; investigator supervision). But controls cannot be relied upon until tested and systematic deficiencies must be continuously identified and addressed to avoid future recurrence.



The U.S. Food and Drug Administration has been under fire for perceived regulatory failures thought to have played a role in approval or failure to timely remove dangerous products from the market. The current administration has prioritized compliance and enforcement.

How Do You Know If Your Organization Is at Risk?

- Do the IRB or HRPP leaders consistently and accurately identify the need for an *investigational new drug* application or *investigational device exemption*?
- > Do sponsor-investigators understand their additional responsibilities?
- > Are key functions on research studies *delegated to staff? Documented?*
- What mechanisms are in place to assure appropriate *qualifications* of investigators, research staff, and others responsible for research activities?
- > To what extent does the institution rely on industry monitoring?
- > Does "manufacturing" occur in-house? Properly, consistently monitored?



Conflicts of Interest

Conflicts of interest must be systematically identified and appropriately managed to assure the integrity of research programs and avoid legal, regulatory, and reputational risk.

How Do You Know If Your Organization Is at Risk?

- > Do policies identify who is subject to conflict disclosure/management?
- > Do policies define which conflicts must be disclosed, when, and to whom?
- > Are potential conflicts consistently, accurately, and timely identified?
- Are data consistently collected *prior to submission* of grant applications and reported *prior to expenditures*?
- > Are new conflicts tracked following initial disclosure prior to grant award?
- > How do you recognize, address non-financial conflicts (i.e., IRB or staff)?
- > What kind of *monitoring and controls* are in place to assure compliance?
- Do sponsor-investigators in FDA-regulated studies collect required data or rely on the institution to do so?



















Privacy and Security

What are Some Strategies to Reduce Risk?

- Educate PIs, research staff, and IRBs about available mechanisms to improve privacy protections, including certificates of confidentiality
- > Consider standardization opportunities
 - · IRB applications
 - · Informed consent and authorization documents
 - Registry policies
 - Computer systems and policies, particularly relating to information security

 What happens when computers are purchased using grant funds?
- Determine whether research facilities and staff are adequate to address privacy and security requirements
 - Is there sufficient storage space to assure appropriate documentation retention?
 - · What electronic systems are used to support the research enterprise?
 - Do they comply with HIPAA security requirements
 - 21 CFR part 11 (if FDA-regulated research is conducted)?











