


HCCA Research Compliance Conference

Session W4 - Dissecting the Clinical Trial Agreement: Avoiding Compliance Pitfalls in Clinical Trial Agreements

June 6, 2018

Michael C. Roach, JD, MHSA, MA, CHRC, CIP



Copyright 2018 Ankura Consulting Group, LLC

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Overview

- ▶ Medicare coverage for clinical trials
  - ▶ Coverage for Device Trials
  - ▶ National Coverage Decision
- ▶ Federal False Claims Act
- ▶ Federal Anti-Kickback Statute
- ▶ Stark Law
- ▶ Beneficiary Anti-Inducement

Copyright 2018 Ankura Consulting Group, LLC

2

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

Medicare Coverage for Clinical Trials

- ▶ Coverage for Device Trials
- ▶ Everything else
  - ▶ National Coverage Decision ("NCD") 310.1
    - ▶ a/k/a Medicare Clinical Research Policy

Copyright 2018 Ankura Consulting Group, LLC

3

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Medicare Coverage for Device Trials

Effective January 1, 2015, Sponsors get approval from CMS central that routine costs can be billed

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Medicare Coverage - Device Trials

- ▶ What does Medicare cover?
  - ▶ For Category A device trial: routine care
    - ▶ Not the device itself
  - ▶ For Category B device: routine care and the investigational device
- ▶ Device category determinations made by CMS
  - ▶ along with determination of trial eligibility for coverage of routine costs
- ▶ Approved device trials:  
<https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Coverage/IDE/index.html>

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## CTA Issues

- ▶ Get copy of CMS approval
  - ▶ Or, confirm trial is approved on CMS website
    - ▶ Problem: out of date
- ▶ Category A devices
  - ▶ Sponsor provides free
  - ▶ Cannot bill it
- ▶ Category B devices
  - ▶ If sponsor provides, site cannot bill

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## CTA Issues

- ▶ If approved by CMS, bill routine care
  - ▶ Same as "routine costs"
  - ▶ But:
    - ▶ Sponsor obligated to pay?
    - ▶ Promised free in ICF?
- ▶ Watch the budget
  - ▶ Initial draft
  - ▶ Final
- ▶ Do own analysis
  - ▶ Do not rely on sponsor's assessment

Copyright 2018 Ankura Consulting Group, LLC

7

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Medicare NCD

### Medicare National Coverage Decision for Routine Costs in Clinical Trials - NCD 310.1

- ▶ Medicare provides coverage for
  - ▶ "routine costs"
  - ▶ in "qualifying clinical trials"

Copyright 2018 Ankura Consulting Group, LLC

8

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Qualifying Clinical Trials: 4-Part Test

- ▶ Trial must study an item or service that falls within a Medicare benefit category
  - ▶ e.g., drugs, DME, diagnostic tests
- ▶ Trial must have therapeutic intent
- ▶ Trial must enroll patients with a diagnosed disease
- ▶ Trial must be:
  - ▶ Funded by NIH, CDC, AHRQ, CMS, DOD or VA
  - ▶ Supported by centers or cooperative groups funded by NIH, CDC, AHRQ, CMS, DOD, or VA
  - ▶ Conducted under an investigational new drug application (IND); or
  - ▶ Exempt from having an IND under 21 CFR 312.2(b)(1)
    - ▶ drug studies not intended to change indications, labeling, advertising, route of administration or dosage, or patient population

Copyright 2018 Ankura Consulting Group, LLC

9

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Seven Desirable Characteristics and Self-Certification

- ▶ NCD includes a self-certification process to qualify trials based on 7 desirable characteristics in lieu of 4<sup>th</sup> criteria in QCT test
  - ▶ Self-certification process never developed by CMS
  - ▶ CMS has no intention of doing so
- ▶ Do not consider the 7 desirable characteristics
- ▶ Do not rely on self-certification

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Routine Costs

- ▶ Items or services required solely for the provision of the investigational item or service;
- ▶ Clinically appropriate monitoring of the effects of the item or service, or prevention of complications; and
- ▶ Items or services typically provided absent a clinical trial (i.e., conventional care)

NCD includes: "Items or services needed for reasonable and necessary care arising from the provision of an investigational item or service (such as diagnosis or treatment of complications)"

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## What's Missing?

- ▶ "Standard of Care"!
  - ▶ Some SOC not covered by Medicare outside trials
    - ▶ self-administered drugs
    - ▶ Screening EKGs
    - ▶ Some lab tests, absent signs or symptoms
- ▶ Term is "conventional care"
  - ▶ As stated in accepted guidelines (e.g., NCCN guidelines) or journals

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## What are not Routine Costs?

- ▶ The investigational item or service itself
  - ▶ unless otherwise covered outside of the clinical trial
- ▶ Items and services provided solely to satisfy data collection and analysis needs and that are not used in the direct clinical management of the patient
- ▶ Items and services customarily provided by the research sponsor free of charge for any enrollee in the trial

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## CTA Issues

- ▶ Sponsor template budget:
  - ▶ Services designated as SOC
  - ▶ Services for which sponsor offers to pay
- ▶ Make sure final budget synchs with:
  - ▶ Final coverage analysis
  - ▶ IRB approved ICF

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Coverage Analysis

- ▶ Do one for every clinical trial
- ▶ Tells you what's billable to CMS and what's not
- ▶ Helps with budget negotiation
- ▶ Reduces risks of improper billing
- ▶ Necessary for research claims auditing
- ▶ OIG and DOJ expect to see them

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

False Claims Act

Copyright 2018 Ankura Consulting Group, LLC

16

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

False Claims Act

- ▶ False Claims Act prohibits
  - ▶ knowingly filing a false claim
  - ▶ causing the filing of a false claim
  - ▶ creating a false record to get a claim paid, or
  - ▶ concealing an obligation to repay money to the federal government.
- ▶ "Knowingly" means:
  - ▶ Has actual knowledge of the information;
  - ▶ Acts in deliberate ignorance of the truth or falsity of the information; or
  - ▶ Acts in reckless disregard of the truth or falsity of the information.
- ▶ Proof of specific intent to defraud not required.

Copyright 2018 Ankura Consulting Group, LLC

17

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

False Claims Act

- ▶ Violations subject to:
  - ▶ Treble damages
  - ▶ Civil penalties up to \$11,000 per claim
  - ▶ Exclusion from Medicare and Medicaid
- ▶ *Qui Tam* suits (whistleblowers)
  - ▶ Plaintiff can receive 15% - 30% of the total recovery from the defendant
  - ▶ Big incentive

Copyright 2018 Ankura Consulting Group, LLC

18

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## FCA Cases

### Rush University Medical Center (2005)

- ▶ \$1,000,000 settlement
  - ▶ Billed for research services:
    - ▶ paid for by sponsors (double billing)
    - ▶ promised free of charge to subjects
- ▶ Resulted in:
  - ▶ Certificate of Compliance Agreement ("CCA") vs CIA
  - ▶ Establishment of central research and clinical trials administration office
  - ▶ Requirement that all clinical trials receive a coverage analysis
  - ▶ Certification of compliance program with OIG annually for 3 years

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## FCA Cases

### UAB (2005)

- ▶ Paid \$3.39 million
  - ▶ Complaint alleged UAB billed Medicare and sponsors for same services
  - ▶ Also, overstated percentage of work effort devoted to grant
- ▶ Two whistleblowers received \$395,000, collectively
  - ▶ Physician formerly on staff
  - ▶ Research compliance officer
- ▶ Required to:
  - ▶ Maintain compliance program at or above then-current staffing and funding levels
  - ▶ Adhere to certain compliance program requirements for 3 years

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## TIP

- ▶ Develop billing and claims processing controls designed to:
  - ▶ Ensure accuracy of claims submitted
  - ▶ Avoid double billing
    - ▶ Budget!
  - ▶ Avoid billing for services that are not covered outside the trial

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Anti-Kickback Statute

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Anti-Kickback Statute

- ▶ The Anti-Kickback Statute ("AKS") prohibits
  - ▶ knowingly and willfully
  - ▶ offering, paying, soliciting, or receiving
  - ▶ any remuneration, directly or indirectly,
  - ▶ in return for
    - ▶ referring an individual for the furnishing or arranging for the furnishing of an item or service for which payment may be made under a federal health care program, or
    - ▶ purchasing, leasing, ordering an item, good, or service for which payment may be made under a federal health care program.
- Criminal and civil penalties
  - ▶ Exclusion from federal health care programs.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## AKS Research Hypothetical

- ▶ Physician employed by hospital
- ▶ PI on industry-sponsored trial
- ▶ His tasks:
  - ▶ Enroll subjects
  - ▶ Prescribe one FDA-approved heart medication to subjects
  - ▶ Make sure they filled the prescription
  - ▶ Complete a 10-question multiple-choice questionnaire for each subject
- ▶ Enrolled 15 subjects
- ▶ Practice paid \$15,000 by sponsor

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



## AKS Example

- ▶ In September 2009, Biovail Pharmaceuticals pleaded guilty to conspiracy and AKS charges
  - ▶ paid \$24M for allegedly conducting a sham study
  - ▶ Also FCA violations
    - ▶ Caused false claims to be submitted
- ▶ Payments to PIs exceeded reasonable FMV of physician time to enroll subjects and complete questionnaires
- ▶ Stated objectives for the study included increasing number of prescriptions for the drug among primary care physicians
- ▶ Study not designed to provide new data on how the drug worked

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## AKS Cases

### St. Jude Medical (2011)

- ▶ Paid \$16 million settlement
  - ▶ Allegedly used postmarket studies and a registry study to pay kickbacks to physicians to induce them to use the company's pacemakers and defibrillators
  - ▶ Allegedly paid PIs up to \$2,000 per subject enrolled to retain their business or convert business from another device manufacturer
- ▶ Whistleblower filed False Claims Act Qui Tam
  - ▶ recovered \$2.84M

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## AKS Cases

### Olympus Corp. (2016)

- ▶ Paid \$623 million to settle AKS claims and related claims under the FCA and state false claims acts
  - ▶ Compliance with AKS is condition of receiving Medicare payments
  - ▶ AKS claim can generate an FCA claim
- ▶ The evidence:
  - ▶ Millions of dollars of "grants"
    - ▶ Grant committee mostly sales and marketing staff
  - ▶ \$100k research grant to hospital
    - ▶ VP Sales: Our top account in the US and I have no intention of losing it to a competitor

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Olympus (continued)

- ▶ Unrestricted research grant of \$50,000 over 3 years
  - ▶ Funds held back until hospital signed deal to purchase equipment
- ▶ \$5,000 grant to hospital to facilitate \$750,000 sale and switch from competitor

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Stark

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Stark Law

- ▶ Prohibits a physician
  - ▶ from referring Medicare and Medicaid patients
  - ▶ to an entity
  - ▶ for designated health services (e.g., lab, imaging, hospital OP)
  - ▶ if the physician (or an immediate family member) has a financial relationship with the entity
    - ▶ Ownership
    - ▶ Compensation arrangements
- ▶ Entity cannot submit claims for services furnished due to a referral prohibited by Stark

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Stark

- ▶ Stark law
  - ▶ "Strict liability" statute
  - ▶ Improper intent not required for violation
- ▶ Penalties for violations include civil monetary penalties and exclusion from Medicare and Medicaid
- ▶ Payment to independent physician for research services constitutes a "compensation arrangement,"
  - ▶ Independent physician may refer patients to hospital to receive designated health services
- ▶ Agreement with independent physician must meet a Stark law exception to avoid Stark violation

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Stark - Personal Services Exception

- ▶ Arrangement set out in writing specifying services covered
  - ▶ Signed by both parties
  - ▶ Cover all of the services to be provided
- ▶ Services must be reasonable and necessary to accomplish legitimate business purposes of the arrangement
- ▶ Term for at least one year
  - ▶ If terminated prior to that, cannot enter the same or substantially the same arrangement during the first year of the agreement
- ▶ Compensation must be FMV and set in advance
  - ▶ cannot take volume or value of referrals or other business generated between the parties into account when determining compensation

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Stark - Hypothetical

- ▶ Independent member of medical staff is PI on a study
- ▶ She is also your hospital CMO for which hospital pays her a stipend
- ▶ Entered into CTA directly with sponsor
  - ▶ Hospital is not a party to CTA
- ▶ Needs to send subjects to your hospital for required OP services
- ▶ Negotiates with your Director of Research for "research rates" well below Medicare rates for same services
- ▶ Exchange of emails documenting arrangement

Problems?

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Anti-Inducement

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Beneficiary Anti-Inducement Statute

- ▶ Civil monetary penalties for
  - ▶ giving something of value to Medicare/Medicaid Beneficiary,
  - ▶ that person knows or should know is likely to influence the beneficiary,
  - ▶ to select a particular provider, practitioner, or supplier of item or service paid for by Medicare or Medicaid
- ▶ Civil monetary penalties up to \$10,000 for each item or service

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Anti-Inducement Hypothetical

- ▶ Investigator initiated trial
- ▶ PI wants to use department money to pay subjects for time and inconvenience
- ▶ Proposes \$500 per 2-hour study visit plus \$50 for lunch

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Beneficiary Anti-Inducement - Case Study

- ▶ Payments to subjects
  - ▶ Must be reasonable pay for time, inconvenience, out-of-pocket expenses
  - ▶ Excessive compensation viewed as inducement to obtain services from the research site or investigator that are reimbursable by Medicare
- ▶ Question: would an IRB approve this study?

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Beneficiary Anti-Inducement - Examples

### OIG Advisory Opinion 11-16 (2011)

- ▶ Non-profit children's hospital
- ▶ Providing free transportation, meals, and lodging, to subjects
- ▶ **OIG found no violation of anti-inducement statute:**
  - ▶ Most funding came from philanthropic sources, not federal health care programs
  - ▶ Unlikely a patient would self-refer for unneeded care due to nature of services
  - ▶ Services designed with infection control in mind due to subjects' compromised immune systems
  - ▶ Subjects told of free services only after being accepted into the study
    - ▶ no inducement to participate

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Beneficiary Anti-Inducement - Examples

### OIG Advisory Opinion 17-02 (2017)

- ▶ Hospital conducting Medicare Coverage with Evidence Development ("CED") study of a FDA-approved wound care system
- ▶ Wants to waive co-pays/cost-sharing amounts for protocol-required items and services for financially needy beneficiaries.
- ▶ **OIG found no violation of anti-inducement statute or the anti-kickback statute because:**
  - ▶ Cost-sharing reduction/co-pay waiver not advertised
  - ▶ Study staff would mention possible reduction/waiver only after a potential study participant indicated lack of resources
  - ▶ Reduction/waiver contingent on submitting application and meeting criteria in Center's financial need policy

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## TIP

- ▶ Have someone not involved in the research looking at the financial arrangements of all research being conducted at your institution
- ▶ Have a mechanism designed to assure the institution is aware of all research being conducted there

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Questions?

Michael C. Roach  
Senior Managing Director  
Ankura Consulting Group, LLC  
Michael.Roach@Ankura.com  


---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---